arXiv:1408.3369v1 [math.RT] 14 Aug 2014

On the universal module of p-adic spherical Hecke algebras

Elmar Große-Klönne

Abstract

Let $\widetilde{G}$ be a split connected reductive group with connected center Z over a local non-Archimedean field F of residue characteristic p, let $\widetilde{K}$ be a hyperspecial maximal compact open subgroup in $\widetilde{G}$. Let R be a commutative ring, let V be a finitely generated R-free $R[\widetilde{K}]$-module. For an R-algebra B and a character $\chi : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}) \to B$ of the spherical Hecke algebra $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}) = \mathrm{End}_{R[\widetilde{G}]}\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(V)$ we consider the specialization

$$M_\chi(V) = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}),\chi} B$$

of the universal $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K})$-module $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(V)$. For large classes of R (including $\mathcal{O}_F$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$), V, B and χ, arguing geometrically on the Bruhat Tits building we give a sufficient criterion for $M_\chi(V)$ to be B-free and to admit a $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant resolution by a Koszul complex built from finitely many copies of $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}}(V)$. This criterion is the exactness of certain fairly small and explicit $\mathfrak{N}$-equivariant R-module complexes, where $\mathfrak{N}$ is the group of $\mathcal{O}_F$-valued points of the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup in $\widetilde{G}$. We verify it if $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and if V is an irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[\widetilde{K}]$-representation with highest weight in the (closed) bottom p-alcove, or a lift of it to $\mathcal{O}_F$. We use this to construct p-adic integral structures in certain locally algebraic representations of $\widetilde{G}$.

Keywords: p-adic reductive group, Bruhat-Tits building, coefficient system, unramified principal series representation, locally algebraic representation, integral structure

AMS 2010 Mathematics subject classification: 22E50, 20G25, 20E42

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 The universal module **8**

3 Vertex coefficient systems **14**

4 The Koszul complex $C\mathcal{F}_V^\bullet$ of the vertex coefficient system $\mathcal{F}_V$ **18**

5 The subquotient complexes $\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V)$ of $C\mathcal{F}_V^\bullet$ **21**

6 Exactness criteria for $\mathcal{K}_\nabla^\bullet(V)$ **26**

7 Group Cohomology of integral unipotent radicals **30**

8 $M_\chi(V)$ is free for $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and p-small weights **39**

9 Integral structures in locally algebraic representations **44**

10 Appendix **46**

1 Introduction

Let F be a local non-Archimedean field with finite residue field k_F of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(F)$ be the group of F-rational points of a split connected reductive algebraic group $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$ over $\mathcal{O}_F$. Let $\widetilde{K} = \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(\mathcal{O}_F)$.

(1) The results.

(1a) *Lattices in smooth/locally algebraic $\widetilde{G}$-representations.* The general problem of finding $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norms on smooth $\widetilde{G}$-representations over p-adic fields is of vital interest for the so called p-adic local Langlands program. Certain quite obvious and handy *necessary* conditions for the existence of $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norms on smooth (or, more generally, locally algebraic) $\widetilde{G}$-representations over p-adic fields are given e.g. in [25], [12]. However, it is widely unknown if these conditions are also sufficient. The first non-trivial (smooth) case in which these conditions were shown to be sufficient was that of unramified smooth principal series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, see [3]. Later on, more general results for tamely ramified smooth principal series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ were obtained in [32] using the Bruhat-Tits tree of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. However, even for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ the general problem of constructing $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-invariant norms in smooth principal series representations (when they are predicted to exist) remains open. Moreover, for groups $\widetilde{G}$ other than $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ we are not aware of a single non-trivial example where $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norms on smooth $\widetilde{G}$-representations have been constructed. In this paper we construct $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norms on unramified smooth principal series representations of $\widetilde{G}$ whenever the aforementioned necessary conditions are fulfilled — under the additional hypothesis that $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$, that $\widetilde{G}$ has connected center, and that the Coxeter number of $\widetilde{G}$ is p-small (more precisely, the Coxeter numbers of the irreducible components of $\widetilde{G}$ are at most $p + 1$). See Theorem 9.1 for the precise (and in fact more general) statement. As explained in Section 9 one may use Proposition 6.4, Corollary 6.1, Theorem 8.2 to construct $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norms in other, more general locally algebraic representations whose algebraic parts 'belong to the bottom alcove'.

(1b) *Freeness of the universal module of the spherical Hecke algebra.* A central object for the representation theory of $\widetilde{G}$ is the spherical Hecke algebra, formed with respect to $\widetilde{K}$. For example, the smooth representation theory of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-vector spaces can at present be approached only through restriction of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$-representations to $\widetilde{K}$, and hence through the spherical Hecke algebra. This theory had been initiated by Barthel and Livné who proved that the universal module over this algebra is free. Except for the result of Bellaïche and Otwinowska (on the spherical algebra of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(F)$ with trivial coefficients, see [2], also for further motivations and applications) we are not aware of other groups $\widetilde{G}$ for which the universal modules over the spherical Hecke algebra have been shown to be free. In this paper we prove that the the universal module over the spherical Hecke algebra with trivial coefficients is free — under the additional hypothesis that $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$, that $\widetilde{G}$ has connected center, and that the Coxeter number of $\widetilde{G}$ is

p-small. More generally, we treat non-trivial algebraic coefficients which 'belong to the bottom alcove', and non-trivial central characters. See Proposition 6.4, Corollary 6.1, Theorem 8.2 for the precise (and in fact more general) statements.

(1c) *Exact resolutions of $\widetilde{G}$-representations over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.* An important technique in the smooth representation theory of $\widetilde{G}$ on complex vector spaces, systematically introduced by Schneider and Stuhler [24], is to 'spread out' smooth $\widetilde{G}$-representations as coefficient systems on the Bruhat-Tits building of $\widetilde{G}$, assigning in an economic way to each (poly)simplex a certain (small) representation of its stabilizer. The point of this procedure is that it typically leads to *acyclic* $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant complexes and thereby provides resolutions of smooth admissible $\widetilde{G}$-represensentations by compactly induced finitely generated representations. Later on these techniques have been generalized by Vignéras [30] to other coefficient fields in which p is invertible. On the other hand, if one considers smooth representations over coefficient fields of characteristic p then the complexes constructed analogously are no longer exact in general, even for $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ (although for $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ to some extent these techniques remain useful, see [32], but the proofs, trivial for complex coefficients, become very painful). Thus, at present there is no method available to produce resolutions of smooth (admissible) $\widetilde{G}$-representations over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ by compactly induced finitely generated representations. In fact, for groups $\widetilde{G}$ other than $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ we are not aware of a single non-trivial example of an exact complex of compactly induced finitely generated $\widetilde{G}$-representations over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ which had been constructed in the literature so far. In this paper, for $\widetilde{G}$ as above we construct resolutions for certain very natural smooth $\widetilde{G}$-represensentations over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ (e.g. reductions modulo p of the lattices appearing in (1a)). More generally, we introduce a technique for verifying the exactness of a given sequence of representations of a p-adic group on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-vector spaces which we think to be of some more general interest. See Proposition 6.4, Corollary 6.1, Theorem 8.2 for the precise (and in fact more general) statements.

(2) The methods. The following techniques and constructions appear to be new.

(2a) *Vertex coefficient systems.* We introduce a notion of 'vertex coefficient systems' on the (semisimple) Bruhat-Tits building X of $\widetilde{G}$ (see section 3). They bear some similarity with the coefficient systems studied in [24], but are different and the relation remains unclear (this deserves further investigation). Vertex coefficient systems are supported on the special vertices only, while the coefficient systems studied in [24] are supported on all the simplices. In fact, for our purposes it is sufficient and convenient to define vertex coefficient systems as living on the set A^0 of special vertices of a chosen apartment A in X, but it is clear that this notion is a 'projection to A' of a notion of coefficient systems on X. Depending on an additional set of parameters α there is a natural Koszul complex $C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ associated with a vertex coefficient system $\mathcal{F}$. Proposition 3.4 provides a filtration of $C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^\bullet$, together with a description of the graded pieces. As these graded pieces are direct sums of complexes supported only on small areas of A we obtain a local criterion for $C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ to be exact. All this is very general, based just on combinatorial geometry of affine Coxeter complexes. It is not specific for p-adic or p-modular representation theory.

(2b) *Cohomology of $\mathfrak{N}$: an analog of Kostant's theorem.* Let $\mathfrak{N}$ denote the group of $\mathcal{O}_F$-valued points of the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup in $\widetilde{G}$. In our cases of interest we are dealing with certain vertex coefficient systems consisting of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-vector space. The said local exactness criterion reduces us to investigating the exactness of certain complexes which are equivariant under $\mathfrak{N}$. We show that this exactness can be deduced from a result, if available, on the group cohomology of $\mathfrak{N}$ with coefficients in algebraic representations of $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(k_F)$. More precisely, what is needed is a certain analog of Kostant's famous result on the corresponding Lie algebra cohomology. We prove it here if $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and if the algebraic representation has highest weight in the' bottom alcove', see Theorem 7.5. For the trivial representation, this condition becomes the above condition that the Coxeter number of $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$ be p-small. One certainly should expect that these group cohomology computations generalize to finite extensions F of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and in this way all the results stated for $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ in this paper will generalize to other F.

(3) The strategy. Let V be a representation of $\widetilde{K}$ on a free R-module for some ring R and consider its compact induction to $\widetilde{G}$, i.e. the R-module $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V$ of compactly supported functions $\widetilde{G} \to V$ with $f(gk) = k^{-1}f(g)$ for $g \in \widetilde{G}$ and $k \in \widetilde{K}$ on which $\widetilde{G}$ acts by left translations. The corresponding spherical Hecke algebra is the endomorphism algebra $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) = \mathrm{End}_{R[\widetilde{G}]}\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V$. It is desirable to understand the $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$-module structure of $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V$; and notably to understand if this module structure is free, or at least if its specializations

$$M_\chi(V) = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}),\chi} B$$

are B-free, for (suitable) R-algebras B and R-algebra homomorphisms $\chi : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to B$. In this paper we consider this problem under the additional assumption that $\widetilde{G}$ has *connected center* Z — which we assume from now on. Moreover, we restrict our attention to the following cases:

(I) R is a field or a principal ideal domain and V is R-free of rank one, or
(II) $R = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and V is an irreducible smooth $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[\widetilde{K}]$-module, or
(III) $R = \mathcal{O}_F$ where now F is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and V is a $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$-stable $\mathcal{O}_F$-lattice in an irreducible rational $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_F$-representation such that $V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is an irreducible rational $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{k_F}$-representation.

We fix an R-valued character θ of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}(V)$ of $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ corresponding to the Z-cocharacters of $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ (see below). We consider only those $\chi : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to B$ whose restrictions to $\mathfrak{H}(V)$ factor through θ. Using θ we extend the $\widetilde{K}$-action on V to a $\widetilde{K}Z$-action and form the compact (modulo Z) induction $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V$. Write $V_B = V \otimes_R B$. Instead of just studying the common cokernel $M_\chi(V) = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V_B / \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K})}(T - \chi(T))$ of the operators $T - \chi(T)$ on $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V_B$ it is more natural to consider an entire Koszul complex

$$C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{V_B}^\bullet = [\ldots \longrightarrow C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{V_B}^2 \longrightarrow C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{V_B}^1 \longrightarrow C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{V_B}^0 \longrightarrow M_\chi(V) \longrightarrow 0]$$

$$C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^j_{V_B} = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V_B \otimes \bigwedge^j \mathbb{Z}[\nabla]$$

whose differentials are (sums of) the $T - \chi(T)$, for certain standard Hecke operators $T \in \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$. (Here ∇ is a finite set in bijection with the standard Hecke operators, and $\mathbb{Z}[\nabla]$ denotes the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module on the set ∇. The subscript $\alpha = \alpha(\chi)$ indicates the dependence on χ.) The existence of such a Koszul complex and, more precisely, that it can be viewed as the Koszul complex of a vertex coefficient system $\mathcal{F}_{V_B}$ on X, follows from the structure theorems (Satake type isomorphism, see below) on $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$.

Therefore, as indicated above, to prove that $M_\chi(V)$ is free and that $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B}$ is a resolution of it, it is enough to prove that certain subquotient complexes $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon}$ of $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B}$, direct sums of complexes living on small areas of X, are exact. Similar to constructions in the papers [1] and [2], the trick is that the $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon}$ are independent of α and that for our purposes it is enough to prove their exactness if $B = R$, $V_B = V$.

We work out sufficient criteria for the exactness of the complexes $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V,\epsilon}$. Let $\mathfrak{N}$ denote the intersection of $\widetilde{K}$ with the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$. Then in case (II) (resp. in case (III)) these exactness criteria for the complexes $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V,\epsilon}$ are given in terms of (continuous) cohomology of the group $\mathfrak{N}$, with coefficients in V (resp. in $V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$), see Propostion 6.4.

(4) Outline. To begin these investigations one has to understand the R-algebra structure of $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$. The complete solution of this problem, given by Schneider and Teitelbaum [25] in case (I) and then in cases (II) and (III) by Herzig [17] and finally Henniart/Vignéras [15], is a Satake type isomorphism which identifies $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ with the commutative R-algebra $R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+]$. Here $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ is the monoid of dominant coweights with respect to a fixed maximal split torus in $\widetilde{G}$. Our assumption that Z be connected implies that $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ is a direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ on the one hand — corresponding to the cocharacters of Z — and the commutative monoid on the set ∇ of fundamental coweights on the other hand. All this is explained in section 2; in particular, Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 recall the statements on the Satake type isomorphism. We then explain that $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V$ can naturally be viewed as a direct sum, indexed by the set X^0 of special vertices of X, of representations of the stabilizers of these vertices.

In section 3 we introduce the general concept of a vertex coefficient system $\mathcal{F}$ on the affine Coxeter complex A associated with a split maximal torus in G. By definition such an $\mathcal{F}$ comes equipped with certain standard Hecke operators, and specializing eigenvalues α for them leads to an associated Koszul complex $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet = \mathcal{F}(A^0) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{Z}[\nabla]$. We use the Euclidean structure of A to construct a certain filtration $\{C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}}$ of it. The support in A of the graded pieces $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_\epsilon$ can be precisely described.

In section 4 we explain how these concepts can be applied to $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V$ and produce the Koszul complex $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B} = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V_B \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{Z}[\nabla]$ together with its filtration.

In section 5 we investigate the graded pieces $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon}$ for this filtration. It turns out that they are direct sums of certain very concrete $\mathfrak{N}$-equivariant complexes $\mathcal{K}^\bullet_D(V_B)$, for subsets D of ∇. To prove freeness of $M_\chi(V)$ and exactness of $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B}$ it is enough to prove exactness of the

complexes $\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V)$, and in fact exactness of $\mathcal{K}_\nabla^\bullet(V)$ (i.e. where $D = \nabla$) is enough.

In section 6 we prove by hand (Corollary 6.1) that $\mathcal{K}_\nabla^\bullet(V)$ is always exact (and hence that $M_\chi(V)$ is free and $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{V_B}^\bullet$ is exact) in the following cases:

(a) The semisimple rank $|\nabla|$ is 1.
(b) The semisimple rank $|\nabla|$ is 2, we are in case (I) and $\mathfrak{N}$ acts trivially on V.

The result in case (a) is a theorem of Barthel and Livne [1]. The freeness of $M_\chi(V)$ in case (b) generalizes the main result of [2] (which deals with $\widetilde{G} = G = \mathrm{PGL}_3(F)$).

For general $\widetilde{G}$ we then provide sufficient criteria (Proposition 6.4) for the exactness of $\mathcal{K}_\nabla^\bullet(V)$ in terms of its $\mathfrak{N}$-cohomology, in case R is a field of characterictic p or a discrete valuation ring with residue field of characterictic p.

This motivates our investigations in section 7 (which is logically independent on the preceding sections). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a simple, simply connected split algebraic group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, let $\mathcal{T}$ be a maximal split torus. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup in $\mathcal{G}$ containing $\mathcal{T}$, let $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ denote its group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points. In order to apply Proposition 6.4 for $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ we compute (Theorem 7.5) the cohomology of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with values in rational $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-representations $V(\mu)$ with highest weight μ lying 'in the (closed) bottom alcove'. More precisely, the condition is that $\langle \mu + \rho, \check{\beta} \rangle \leq p$ for all positive roots β, where ρ denotes the half sum of positive roots. The result (Theorem 7.1) is that there is a natural isomorphism

$$H^i(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)) \cong \prod_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W} \\ \ell(w) = i}} H^i(\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}) \tag{1}$$

for each $i \geq 0$. Here $\mathcal{W}$ denotes the (finite) Weyl group of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{T})$ and for $w \in \mathcal{W}$ of length $\ell(w) = i$ the group $\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an i-dimensional subgroup of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. It acts on $V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}$, a certain one-dimensional quotient of $V(\mu)$. In particular, each $H^i(\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)})$ is one-dimensional. The proof of Theorem 7.1 consists in the reduction to a result of Polo and Tilouine on the Lie algebra cohomology of $\mathcal{N}$ with values in $V(\mu)$ (who transfered a famous result of Kostant from characteristic zero to positive characteristic). Besides that, we found it also quite instructive to compute $H^i(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu))$ by hand, avoiding Lie algebra cohomology, in the first non-trivial case: the case where $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{SL}_3$ and $V(\mu)$ is the trivial representation. This computation is given in the appendix section 10. We conclude section 7 by extending the above theorem to non-simple $\mathcal{G}$, Theorem 7.5.

In section 8 we then combine Proposition 6.4 and Theorem 7.5 to prove our main result. Let J be an index set for the irreducible components of a root system for $\widetilde{G}$, for $j \in J$ let $h^{(j)}$, $\rho^{(j)}$, $\Phi^{(j),+}$ denote the respective Coxeter number, half sum of positve roots, set of positive roots, respectively. In case (II) the restriction of V to the product of universal coverings of the simple factors of the derived group of $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ may be regarded as a tensor product of irreducible rational representations with p-restricted highest weights $\mu^{(j)}$. Similarly, in case (III) the rational representation $V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is a tensor product of irreducible rational representations with p-restricted

highest weights $\mu^{(j)}$.

Theorem 1.1 (= Theorem 8.2) *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and assume that we are in (at least) one of the following cases (i), (ii) or (iii):*

(i) We are in case (I), R is a discrete valuation ring with residue field k of characteristic p, and we have $p \geq h^{(j)} - 1$ for all $j \in J$.

(ii) We are in case (II), and $\langle \mu^{(j)} + \rho^{(j)}, \check{\beta} \rangle \leq p$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^{(j),+}$, all j.

(iii) We are in case (III), and $\langle \mu^{(j)} + \rho^{(j)}, \check{\beta} \rangle \leq p$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^{(j),+}$, all j.

Then the B-module $M_\chi(V)$ is free and $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B}$ is a $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant resolution of $M_\chi(V)$, for any R-algebra B and any R-algebra homomorphism $\chi : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to B$ such that $\chi|_{\mathfrak{H}(V)}$ factors through R.

Notice that for $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ our hypotheses are empty (the p-restricted region consists of the (closed) bottom alcove only), so in this case the freeness of the $M_\chi(V)$ holds unconditionally (as already seen — more generally for $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ for any F — in Corollary 6.1, resp. in [1]).

Section 9 contains our discussion of existence of $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norms on locally algebraic $\widetilde{G}$-representations.

(5) Further remarks.

(5a) We cannot prove that for general $\widetilde{G}$ our conditions on V imposed in Theorem 1.1 (= Theorem 8.2) are necessary to guarantee the freeness of the $M_\chi(V)$. However, in two of the very first cases not covered by our hypotheses — the case $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, taking as V the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and the case $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{PGL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $p = 2$, taking as V the trivial representation — we indeed verified that the exactness of $\mathcal{K}^\bullet_\nabla(V)$ fails ! For this reason we are in fact sceptical on whether the $M_\chi(V)$ are free for general V.

(5b) The representations $M_\chi(V)$ clearly deserve further investigation. Their freeness immediately implies the existence of supersingular $\widetilde{G}$-representations over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, just as this was demonstrated in the case of $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ in [1].

(5c) Theorem 9.1 states the existence of $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norms on *unramified* principal series representations whenever certain obvious necessary conditions are fulfilled. One may formulate similar such necessary conditions for the existence of $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norms on much more general smooth (or even locally algebraic) $\widetilde{G}$-representations. These conditions, and that they should in fact be *sufficient* conditions, seem to have been first observed and propagated by Marie France Vignéras; see also e.g. [5], [10], [12], [25], [31]. A natural next type of example class to consider would be that of tamely ramified principal series representations. In view of the strategy followed here in the unramified case, one may speculate if the tamely unramified case is accessible to similar techniques involving the (pro-p) Iwahori Hecke algebra instead of the spherical Hecke algebra.

(6) Acknowledgements: It is a pleasure to thank Florian Herzig and Peter Schneider for discussions on the subject matter of this paper. I thank the members of the working group

on Geometry, Topology and Group Theory and the working group on p-adic Arithmetic at the University of Münster for the very careful reading of this paper and numerous remarks. In particular Jan Kohlhaase made several helpful remarks on section 8. I am grateful to Linus Kramer for providing a proof of Lemma 3.1 being much more elegant than my original approach, and to Peter Schneider for his critical comments on an earlier version of section 7 and in particular for suggesting to use [14] for the proof of Theorem 7.1. I thank Niko Naumann for the hint to consult the paper [11]. I am very grateful to the organizers of the p-adic Galois trimester, held in the spring 2010 at the Institute Henri Poincaré at Paris, for the invitation to this highly rewarding and instructive activity and for giving me the chance to report on the results of the present paper there. I thank the referee for suggesting improvements on the exposition.

This work was done while the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) supported my position as a Heisenberg Professor at the Humboldt University at Berlin.

2 The universal module

Let F be a local non-Archimedean field with finite residue field k_F of characteristic $p > 0$, let $p_F \in \mathcal{O}_F$ denote a fixed uniformizer. Let $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(F)$ be the group of F-rational points of a split connected reductive algebraic group $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$ over $\mathcal{O}_F$ with connected center; then $\widetilde{K} = \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is a hyperspecial maximal compact open subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ be a maximal split torus, let $\widetilde{T} = \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(F)$. Choose a Borel subgroup $N\widetilde{T}$ in $\widetilde{G}$ (containing $\widetilde{T}$ and with unipotent radical N). Let $\overline{N}$ denote the unipotent radical of the Borel subgroup opposite to $N\widetilde{T}$ and then let $\mathfrak{N} = \widetilde{K} \cap \overline{N}$. [A more conventional notation for this group would be e.g. $\overline{N}_0$. We chose the non decorated symbol $\mathfrak{N}$ instead since it is used again and again.] We have the reduction map red : $\widetilde{K} = \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(\mathcal{O}_F) \to \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(k_F)$. Let $\widetilde{I} = \mathrm{red}^{-1}(\mathrm{red}(\mathfrak{N}))$; this is a pro-$p$-Iwahori subgroup in $\widetilde{G}$. Put

$$\widetilde{T}_+ = \{t \in \widetilde{T} \,|\, t(\widetilde{K} \cap N)t^{-1} \subset (\widetilde{K} \cap N)\} = \{t \in \widetilde{T} \,|\, t^{-1}\mathfrak{N}t \subset \mathfrak{N}\},$$

a submonoid of $\widetilde{T}$. Let $X^*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Let $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, \widetilde{\mathbf{T}})$. We have the natural isomorphism

$$X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}) \cong \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(F)/\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{O}_F) = \widetilde{T}/(\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}), \quad \lambda \mapsto \text{ class of } \lambda(p_F). \tag{2}$$

Let Φ^+ (resp. Φ^-) denote the set of positive (resp. negative) roots with respect to $N\widetilde{T}$, let $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$. Let $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ (the monoid of dominant coweights) denote the image of $\widetilde{T}_+$ in the quotient $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})$ of $\widetilde{T}$ (under the isomorphim (2)). Equivalently, $\lambda \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})$ lies in $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ if and only if for a representative $t \in \widetilde{T}$ of λ we have $|\alpha(t)| \leq 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$.

The quotient $G = \widetilde{G}/Z$ of $\widetilde{G}$ by its center Z is a split semisimple algebraic group over F of adjoint type. Of course, more precisely, G is the group $\mathbf{G}(F)$ of F-valued points of a split semisimple algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ over F of adjoint type. Moreover, $K = \widetilde{K}/(Z \cap \widetilde{K})$ is a special maximal compact open subgroup of G, and $T = \widetilde{T}/Z$ is a split maximal torus in G, i.e. $T = \mathbf{T}(F)$ for a split maximal torus $\mathbf{T}$ in $\mathbf{G}$. We let $W = N(T)/T = N(\widetilde{T})/\widetilde{T}$ denote the Weyl group. The

sets of roots for $\widetilde{G}$ and for G can be naturally identified. For $\alpha \in \Phi$ let U_α be the corresponding root subgroup, regarded as a subgroup of both G and $\widetilde{G}$. Similarly we may identify N and $\mathfrak{N}$ with their images in G. The image I of $\widetilde{I}$ in G is a pro-p-Iwahori subgroup in G containing $\mathfrak{N}$, and NT is a Borel subgroup in G. What has been explained for $\widetilde{G}$ above applies similarly to G. In particular, the image T_+ of $\widetilde{T}_+$ in T can be characterized as $T_+ = \{t \in T \,|\, t^{-1}\mathfrak{N}t \subset \mathfrak{N}\}$, and its image in $X_*(\mathbf{T})$ is $X_*(\mathbf{T})_+$. As Z is connected we have the following facts:

— $Z/(Z \cap \widetilde{K})$ is a free abelian group.

— There is a unique subset ∇ of $X_*(\mathbf{T})_+$ which generates $X_*(\mathbf{T})_+$ as a monoid (so $X_*(\mathbf{T})_+$ is a direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{N}$) and is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $X_*(\mathbf{T})$.

We have the exact sequence of monoids

$$0 \longrightarrow Z/(Z \cap \widetilde{K}) \longrightarrow X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+ \longrightarrow X_*(\mathbf{T})_+ \longrightarrow 0$$

and we choose once and for all a splitting

$$X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+ \cong Z/(Z \cap \widetilde{K}) \bigoplus X_*(\mathbf{T})_+ \tag{3}$$

of this exact sequence and allow us to regard $X_*(\mathbf{T})_+$ as being contained in $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$.

Let R be a commutative ring with unity and let V be an $R[\widetilde{K}]$-module, finitely generated as an R-module. Let

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V = \{f : \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow V \,|\, \mathrm{supp}(f) \text{ compact}, f(gk) = k^{-1}f(g) \text{ for } g \in \widetilde{G}, k \in \widetilde{K}\}$$

denote the compactly induced $\widetilde{G}$-representation with $\widetilde{G}$-action $(gf)(g') = f(g^{-1}g')$. Let $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) = \mathrm{End}_{\widetilde{G}}(\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V)$ denote the corresponding Hecke algebra. By Frobenius reciprocity, we have a natural isomorphism between $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ and

$$\{\Psi : \widetilde{G} \to \mathrm{End}_R(V) \,|\, \mathrm{supp}(\Psi) \text{ compact}, \Psi(k_1 g k_2) = k_1 \Psi(g) k_2 \text{ for } g \in \widetilde{G}, k_1, k_2 \in \widetilde{K}\} \tag{4}$$

by sending Ψ to

$$[f \mapsto [g \mapsto \sum_{x\widetilde{K} \in \widetilde{G}/\widetilde{K}} \Psi(g^{-1}x)(f(x))]] \in \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}).$$

Multiplication in $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ corresponds to convolution in (4). We define the subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}(V)$ of $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ as the one corresponding under this isomorphism to the subalgebra of all Ψ in (4) with support in $Z\widetilde{K} = \widetilde{K}Z$.

We will always assume that we are in (at least) one of the following three cases:

(I) R is a field or a principal ideal domain and V is R-free of rank one.

(II) $R = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and V is an irreducible smooth $\widetilde{K}$-representation over R. Thus $\dim_R(V) < \infty$ and the $\widetilde{K}$-action on V factors through the natural projection $\widetilde{K} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(k_F)$.

(III) $R = \mathcal{O}_F$ where now F is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and V is a $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$-stable $\mathcal{O}_F$-lattice in an irreducible rational representation of $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_F$, and moreover, $V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is an irreducible rational representation of $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{k_F}$.

In case (I) we define $\xi_\lambda : V \to V$ for $\lambda \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ to be the identity on V.

In case (II) we follow [17] to define $\xi_\lambda : V \to V$ for $\lambda \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})$. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_\lambda = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_\lambda \mathbf{N}_\lambda \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$ denote the parabolic subgroup defined by λ (cf. [27] 13.4.2, 15.4.4), with Levi factor $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_\lambda$ containing $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ and unipotent radical $\mathbf{N}_\lambda$. Then $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_\lambda(k_F)$ is the image of $\widetilde{K} \cap \lambda(p_F)^{-1}\widetilde{K}\lambda(p_F)$ under the natural projection $\widetilde{K} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(k_F)$, cf. [17] Proposition 3.8. The composition of natural maps

$$V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(k_F)} \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow V_{\mathbf{N}_\lambda(k_F)}$$

is an isomorphism of $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_\lambda(k_F)$-modules. We use its inverse to define the map $\xi_\lambda : V \to V$ as the composition

$$\xi_\lambda : V \to V_{\mathbf{N}_\lambda(k_F)} \cong V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(k_F)} \to V.$$

Finally, in case (III) we define $\xi_\lambda : V \to V$ as in the proof of [17] Proposition 2.10, as follows. The irreducible rational representation $V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{k_F}$ remains irreducible as a representation of the abstract group $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(k_F)$ (cf. [17]). Therefore the construction in case (II) yields maps $\xi_\lambda : V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \to V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ for $\lambda \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})$. In the proof of [17] Proposition 2.10 it is explained that they lift naturally to maps $\xi_\lambda : V \to V$.

In either case, (I), (II) or (III), for $\lambda \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})$ we denote by $T_\lambda \in \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ the element corresponding to the unique map $\Psi_\lambda : \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(V)$ in (4) with support in $\widetilde{K}\lambda(p_F)\widetilde{K}$ and sending $\lambda(p_F)$ to ξ_λ. (For the existence of such T_λ see again [17].)

Proposition 2.1. *(Schneider/Teitelbaum, Herzig, Henniart/Vignéras) $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ is the free commutative polynomial algebra over $\mathfrak{H}(V)$ in the variables T_λ for $\lambda \in \nabla$.*

This is all we need to know about $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ in the present paper. It is a consequence of the following Proposition 2.2.

Proposition 2.2. *(Schneider/Teitelbaum, Herzig, Henniart/Vignéras) (a) We have a canonical injective R-algebra homomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \longrightarrow R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]. \tag{5}$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ is commutative.

(b) Under the homomorphism (5), the subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}(V)$ of $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ maps isomorphically to the subalgebra $R[Z/(Z \cap \widetilde{K})]$ of $R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]$.

(c) In case (I), the image of $\mathfrak{S}$ is the subalgebra $R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]^W$ of W-invariants in $R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]$ for a certain twisted action of W on $R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]$.

In case (II) the image of $\mathfrak{S}$ is the subalgebra of functions supported on $\widetilde{T}_+$ — under the natural identification of $R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]$ with the R-algebra of $\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}$-biequivariant, compactly supported R-valued functions on $\widetilde{T}$.

PROOF: In case (II) this is shown in [17] if F has characteristic zero; for F of general characteristic (and in fact for more general, not necessarily split $\widetilde{G}$) see [15]. In case (III) this is derived from the results in [25] and [17], notably from [17] Proposition 2.10 and its proof. In case (I) this is shown in [25], section 3, from which we recall the basic features. We begin by explaining the twisted action of W on $R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]$. The W-action on $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ induces a W-action on $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})$. Let $\delta : \overline{N}\widetilde{T} \to \mathbb{Q}^\times \cap \mathbb{Z}[1/|k_F|]$ denote the modulus character of the Borel subgroup $\overline{N}\widetilde{T}$ of $\widetilde{G}$. We temporarily choose a square root of $|k_F|$ to define

$$\gamma(w, \lambda) = \frac{\delta^{1/2}(w(\lambda)(p_F))}{\delta^{1/2}(\lambda(p_F))}$$

for $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})$. In fact this is an element in $\mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [25] section 2), hence maps to R. The map $\gamma(.,.) : W \times X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}) \to \mathbb{Q}^\times$ is a cocylce (i.e. $\gamma(w, \lambda\mu) = \gamma(w, \lambda)\gamma(w, \mu)$ and $\gamma(vw, \lambda) = \gamma(v, w(\lambda))\gamma(w, \lambda)$ for any $v, w \in W$, any $\lambda, \mu \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})$). Therefore we can define an action of W on $R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]$ through the formula

$$W \times R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})] \longrightarrow R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})],$$

$$(w, \sum_\lambda c_\lambda \lambda) \mapsto \sum_\lambda \gamma(w, \lambda) c_\lambda w(\lambda).$$

The Cartan decomposition says that $\widetilde{G}$ is the disjoint union of the double cosets $\widetilde{K}\lambda(p_F)\widetilde{K}$, with λ running through $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$, hence $\{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+}$ is an R-basis of $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$. Consider the map

$$\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \longrightarrow R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})],$$

$$\psi \mapsto \sum_{\lambda \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})} \Big(\sum_{n \in \overline{N}/\mathfrak{N}} \psi(\lambda(p_F)n) \Big) \lambda.$$

(Here $\psi \in \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ is identified with the element in (4) it corresponds to.) The map $\mathfrak{S}$ differs from the classical Satake map by the character $\delta^{1/2}$ of $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})$. In particular, $\mathfrak{S}$ is an R-algebra homomorphism and takes values in $R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]^W$. We define $\sigma_\mu \in R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]$ for $\mu \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ as follows: if $W(\mu)$ denotes the stabilizer of μ in W, then

$$\sigma_\mu = \sum_{w \in W/W(\mu)} \gamma(w, \mu) w(\mu).$$

It is easy to see that the σ_μ for all $\mu \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ form an R-basis of $R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]^W$. If for $\lambda \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ we write

$$\mathfrak{S}(T_\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+} c(\mu, \lambda)\sigma_\mu \quad \text{with } c(\mu, \lambda) \in R, \tag{6}$$

then it follows from [7] Proposition I.4.4.4 that for all $\lambda, \mu \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ we have: $c(\lambda, \lambda) = 1$, and $c(\mu, \lambda) = 0$ unless $\mu \leq \lambda$. Here for $\mu, \lambda \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ we write $\mu \leq \lambda$ if and only if $\lambda - \mu$ is a non-negative real linear combination of the positive coroots (i.e. the coroots associated to the

elements of Φ^+). Hence $\mathfrak{S}$ is represented as an R-linear map to $R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]^W$ by an infinite block matrix with unipotent triangular finite blocks, hence is bijective. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.1: Recall that we regard $X_*(\mathbf{T})_+$ as a subset of $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ via the splitting (3), hence we may form $T_\lambda \in \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ for $\lambda \in \nabla \subset X_*(\mathbf{T})_+$. To prove Proposition 2.1 it is enough, by the Cartan decomposition, to show that the T_λ for any $\lambda \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ are contained in the $\mathfrak{H}(V)$-algebra generated by the T_λ for all $\lambda \in \nabla$. Now for any two $\lambda, \lambda' \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ we claim that

$$T_\lambda T_{\lambda'} - T_{\lambda+\lambda'} \in \sum_{\substack{\lambda'' \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+ \\ \lambda'' < \lambda+\lambda'}} \mathfrak{H}(V).T_{\lambda''}. \tag{7}$$

Indeed, first we remark that for any two $\mu, \mu' \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ we have

$$\sigma_{\mu+\mu'} - \sigma_\mu \sigma_{\mu'} \in \sum_{\mu'' < \mu+\mu'} \mathfrak{H}(V).\sigma_{\mu''}.$$

This can be shown as in [4] chapter VI, sections 3.2 and 3.4; see also the proof of [25] Proposition 2.6. Therefore, since $\mathfrak{S}$ is an *algebra* isomorphism, formula (7) can be translated via $\mathfrak{S}$ into a similar statement in $R[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]$, and this statement holds true by the informations on the coefficients $c(\mu, \lambda)$ in formula (6) recorded above. By [17] these arguments apply in case (II) as well. Alternatively, one can prove formula (7) more directly by using [7] Prop. 4.4.4 (iii) and the formula for multiplication in $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ (i.e. convolution). As the monoid $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ is generated modulo $Z/(Z \cap \widetilde{K})$ by the elements in ∇, formula (7) shows that we only need to observe that the non-zero minimal (with respect to our ordering on $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ introduced above) elements in $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ belong to ∇. But this is clear. $\square$

For $z \in Z$ let T_z denote the element in $\mathfrak{H}(V)$ corresponding to the unique map $\widetilde{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_R(V)$ in (4) with support in $\widetilde{K}z$ and sending z to id_V.

Suppose we are given an R-algebra homomorphism $\theta : \mathfrak{H}(V) \to R$. We let Z act on V by declaring that $zv = \theta(T_{z^{-1}})v$ for $z \in Z$ and $v \in V$; this is compatible with the $\widetilde{K}$-action, i.e. defines an action of the subgroup $\widetilde{K}Z$ of $\widetilde{G}$ on V (cf. e.g. the discussion preceding [18] formula (3.5)). Consider the $\widetilde{G}$-representation

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V = \{f : \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow V \,|\, \mathrm{supp}(f) \text{ compact mod } Z, f(gk) = k^{-1}f(g) \text{ for } g \in \widetilde{G}, k \in \widetilde{K}Z\}.$$

It depends on θ but we suppress this in our notation. The natural map (provided by Frobenius reciprocity) $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V \to \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}(V),\theta} R \cong \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V, \tag{8}$$

see [18] formula (3.5). Using the composition

$$\theta^* : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \cong \mathfrak{H}(V)[T_\lambda]_{\lambda \in \nabla} = \mathfrak{H}(V) \otimes_R R[T_\lambda]_{\lambda \in \nabla} \xrightarrow{\theta \otimes \mathrm{id}} R[T_\lambda]_{\lambda \in \nabla} \tag{9}$$

where the first isomorphism is that of Proposition 2.1, we may also read the isomorphism (8) as

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K})} R[T_\lambda]_{\lambda\in\nabla} \cong \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V.$$

In this way we see the T_λ acting on $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V$. These actions may simply be described as follows. Let $\overline{\Psi}_\lambda : \widetilde{G} \to \mathrm{End}_R(V)$ denote the unique function with support in $\widetilde{K}\lambda(p_F)\widetilde{K}Z$, with $\overline{\Psi}_\lambda(k_1 g k_2) = k_1 \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(g) k_2$ for $k_1, k_2 \in \widetilde{K}Z$ and $g \in \widetilde{G}$, and with $\overline{\Psi}_\lambda(\lambda(p_F)) = \xi_\lambda$. Then T_λ acts on $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V$ as

$$f \mapsto T_\lambda(f) = [g \mapsto \sum_{x\widetilde{K}Z \in \widetilde{G}/\widetilde{K}Z} \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(g^{-1}x) f(x)] \tag{10}$$

where $f \in \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V$ and $g \in \widetilde{G}$. Writing $\widetilde{K}\lambda(p_F)\widetilde{K}Z = \coprod_i h_i \widetilde{K}Z$ for suitable $h_i \in \widetilde{G}$ this becomes

$$T_\lambda(f)(g) = \sum_i \overline{\Psi}_\lambda(h_i) f(g h_i). \tag{11}$$

We conclude this section by recalling how $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V$ can be spread out onto the Bruhat-Tits building X of $\mathbf{G}/F$. Let $A = X_*(\mathbf{T}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ denote the standard apartment with respect to T in X. Let X^0, resp. A^0, denote the set of special vertices of X, resp. of A. Then G, resp. $X_*(\mathbf{T}) \cong \mathbf{T}(F)/\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O}_F) = T/T \cap K$, act on X^0, resp. on A^0.

Lemma 2.3. *The action of $X_*(\mathbf{T})$ on A^0 is transitive. The action of G on X^0 is transitive.*

Proof: Since G is of adjoint type we have $\mathbb{Z}\Phi = X^*(\mathbf{T})$, hence $\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ is in perfect duality with $X_*(\mathbf{T})$. For any element in $\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ we have an associated wall in A, and A^0 may be characterized as the set of points in A contained in just as many different such walls as is the unique special vertex in X^0 fixed by K. Together the first statement follows. The second statement then follows by G-equivariance. Alternatively, see [28] section 2.5. □

Let $x_0 \in X^0$ be the unique special vertex in X^0 fixed by K. Let π denote the map $\widetilde{G} \to X^0$, $g \mapsto g x_0$. By Lemma 2.3 it induces a bijection

$$\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{K}Z = G/K \cong X^0.$$

Let V be an $R[\widetilde{K}]$-module as before, and let $\theta : \mathfrak{H}(V) \to R$ be an R-algebra homomorphism, so that we may form the $\widetilde{G}$-representation $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V$. For a subset Y of X^0 we put

$$\mathcal{C}(Y, V) = \{f \in \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V \,|\, \mathrm{supp}(f) \subset \pi^{-1}(Y)\}$$

(Once more: this also depends on θ). In particular we have

$$\mathcal{C}(X^0, V) = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V, \tag{12}$$

and the T_λ for $\lambda \in X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})_+$ (or $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})_+$ — recall the splitting (3)) act on $\mathcal{C}(X^0, V)$ as described in formula (10). We regard the $\mathcal{C}(Y, V)$ for any $Y \subset X^0$ as R-submodules in $\mathcal{C}(X^0, V)$ (extension of functions by zero); in particular we may apply the T_λ to them (to obtain new elements in $\mathcal{C}(X^0, V)$). On the other hand, given any $f \in \mathcal{C}(X^0, V)$ we denote by $f|_Y$ the element in $\mathcal{C}(Y, V)$ obtained by restriction to $\pi^{-1}(Y)$.

3 Vertex coefficient systems

Let W denote a finite reflection group acting on a Euclidean vector space V. Fix an *open* Weyl chamber $\mathcal{C}$ in V. The intersection of the closure $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ with the coweight lattice in V is a free commutative monoid with a unique basis ∇. In particular, $\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \{\sum_{\lambda\in\nabla} r_\lambda \lambda \,|\, (r_\lambda)_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\nabla}\}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $z \in -\mathcal{C}$ and let Q be a subset of ∇. The assignment*

$$W^Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \qquad (w_\lambda)_{\lambda\in Q} \mapsto \|z - \sum_{\lambda\in Q} w_\lambda \lambda\|$$

attains its maximum exactly for those $(w_\lambda)_{\lambda\in Q} \in W^Q$ with $\sum_{\lambda\in Q} w_\lambda\lambda = \sum_{\lambda\in Q}\lambda$.

Proof: (Linus Kramer)

Step 1: Fix $x \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. We claim that the following (i), (ii) and (iii) are equivalent conditions on $w \in W$:

(i) $wx = x$.

(ii) The map $W \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, w \mapsto \langle z, wx\rangle$ attains a minimum in w.

(iii) The map $W \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, w \mapsto \|z - wx\|^2$ attains a maximum in w.

Indeed, we have $\|z - w(x)\|^2 = \|z\|^2 + \|x\|^2 - 2\langle z, wx\rangle$, so we see that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. It remains to prove that (iii) implies (i) (which then also gives the reverse implication). Suppose that $wx \neq x$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is a fundamental domain for the action of W on V we find a reflection hyperplane H which separates x and wx. Then z and wx ly on the same connected component of the complement $V - H$ of H in V. This implies that if $h \in W$ denotes the reflection in H, then $\|z - hwx\|^2 > \|z - wx\|^2$, so $\|z - wx\|^2$ is not maximal.

Step 2: To prove the Lemma, consider

$$\|z - \sum_{\lambda\in Q} w_\lambda\lambda\|^2 = \|z\|^2 + \sum_{\lambda\in Q}\|\lambda\|^2 - 2\sum_{\lambda\in Q}\langle z, w_\lambda\lambda\rangle + 2\sum_{\lambda\neq\mu\in Q}\langle w_\lambda\lambda, w_\mu\mu\rangle.$$

By step 1, the terms $\langle z, w_\lambda\lambda\rangle$ are minimized if $w_\lambda\lambda \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. On the other hand, the condition that $w_\lambda\lambda \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ for all $\lambda \in Q$ clearly maximizes the terms $\langle w_\lambda\lambda, w_\mu\mu\rangle$. Together it follows that $\|z - \sum_{\lambda\in Q} w_\lambda\lambda\|^2$ and hence $\|z - \sum_{\lambda\in Q} w_\lambda\lambda\|$ is maximized if $w_\lambda\lambda \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ for all $\lambda \in Q$, or equivalently if $w_\lambda\lambda = \lambda$ for all $\lambda \in Q$, or equivalently if $\sum_{\lambda\in Q} w_\lambda\lambda = \sum_{\lambda\in Q}\lambda$. □

We return to the setting and notations of section 2. The group W acts on A as a reflection group, the group $X_*(\mathbf{T})$ acts on A by translations. These group actions induce group actions on the set A^0. We have $x_0 \in A^0$, and inside A^0 this vertex is the unique point fixed by W. It is also the origin for the structure of A as an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space obtained from the group structure of $X_*(\mathbf{T})$.

A *wall* (cf. already the proof of Lemma 2.3) in the affine Coxeter complex A is a translate by an element in $X_*(\mathbf{T})$ of a wall passing through x_0. A wall through x_0 can be described as follows (as it is best adapted to our purposes): it is a 1-codimensional sub $\mathbb{R}$-vector space (in the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space A) spanned by a set $w(\nabla - \{\lambda\})$, for some $w \in W$, some $\lambda \in \nabla$.

In particular, our walls must be distinguished from the p-walls in [20]. On the other hand, in later sections we will use the terminology of alcoves in $X^*(\mathbf{T})$: those are then meant to be p-alcoves as in [20].

Using the structure of A as an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space we define the closed cone (vector chamber)

$$\overline{C} = \{\sum_{\lambda\in\nabla}(r_\lambda\lambda)x_0 \in A = X_*(\mathbf{T})\otimes\mathbb{R}\,;\,(r_\lambda)_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\nabla}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

Let σ_0 denote the unique chamber (connected component of the complement of all walls in A) contained in $\overline{C}$ and whose closure contains x_0. Choose a point $z_0 \in \sigma_0$ such that no two different elements of A^0 are at the same Euclidean distance from z_0. In other words, for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $\{z \in A^0\,;\,\|z - z_0\| = \epsilon\}$ is either empty or contains a single element z_ϵ.

[To see that such a z_0 exists observe that for a pair of two different elements $z \neq z'$ of A^0 the locus of equidistance from z and z' is an affine hyperplane in A. But there are only countably many pairs $z \neq z'$ in A^0, therefore the open subset σ_0 of A can not be contained in the union of all these affine hyperplanes, i.e. there is a point $z_0 \in \sigma_0$ avoiding all these affine hyperplanes.]

Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the set of all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that z_ϵ exists. Thus $\mathcal{E}$ is in bijection with A^0.

Our choice of z_0 implies in particular that z_0 is not contained in any wall of A. Therefore there is for each $z \in A^0$ a unique $w_z \in W$ with

$$z \in z_0 - w_z\overline{C} = \{z_0 - w_z(c)\,|\,c \in \overline{C}\}.$$

For $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ let

$$Q(\epsilon) = \{\lambda \in \nabla\,;\,(w_{z_\epsilon}\lambda)z_\epsilon \in z_0 - w_{z_\epsilon}\overline{C}\}.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{P} &= A^0 \times \{Q\,;\,Q \subset \nabla\}\\ &= \{(z,Q)\,;\,z \in A^0 \text{ and } Q \subset \nabla\}.\end{aligned}$$

For $(z,Q) \in \mathfrak{P}$ put

$$\epsilon(z,Q) = \max\{\|x - z_0\|\,;\,x = \mu z \text{ for some } \mu = -\sum_{\lambda\in Q} w_\lambda\lambda \text{ with } w_\lambda \in W\}.$$

For $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ define the subset

$$\mathfrak{P}(\epsilon) = \{(\sum_{\lambda\in Q}(w_{z_\epsilon}\lambda)z_\epsilon, Q)\,;\,Q \subset Q(\epsilon)\}$$

of $\mathfrak{P}$. Notice that for two pairs $(z,Q),(z',Q') \in \mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)$ with $z = z'$ we necessarily have $Q = Q'$, but we find it convenient to build in this redundancy in the definition of $\mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)$.

Lemma 3.2. *(a) For any $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ and any $(z,Q) \in \mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)$ we have $\epsilon(z,Q) = \epsilon$.*

(b) $\mathfrak{P} = \coprod_{\epsilon\in\mathcal{E}}\mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)$ (disjoint union).

PROOF: Let $(z,Q) \in \mathfrak{P}$. In the vector space A with origin x_0 we may regard the $x = \mu z$ for $\mu = -\sum_{\lambda \in Q} w_\lambda \lambda$ (some $w_\lambda \in W$) simply as the sums of the vectors μ and z (since $\mu \in X_*(\mathbf{T})$ acts by translation on A). We claim that $\|x - z_0\|$ is maximized if and only if all the $w_\lambda \lambda$ belong to $w_z \overline{C}$, i.e. if and only if $w_\lambda = w_z$ for all $\lambda \in Q$, i.e. if and only if $x = x_{(z,Q)}$ where we put $x_{(z,Q)} = (-\sum_{\lambda \in Q} w_z \lambda) z$.

Indeed, this follows from Lemma 3.1: our data A, resp. z_0, resp. z under discussion here play the role of the following data in Lemma 3.1: V, resp. z, resp. the origin of V.

Clearly we have $w_{x_{(z,Q)}} = w_z$ for any $(z,Q) \in \mathfrak{P}$. Similarly, for $(z,Q) \in \mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)$ we have $w_{x_{(z,Q)}} = w_z = w_{z_\epsilon}$ and one deduces that $x_{(z,Q)} = z_\epsilon$ and $\epsilon(z,Q) = \epsilon$. This proves (a). From (a) we immediately obtain that the $\mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)$ are pairwise disjoint, and from the above argument we also get that their union is $\mathfrak{P}$: statement (b). □

Lemma 3.3. *Let (z_1,Q_1), $(z_2,Q_2) \in \mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)$ with $Q_1 \subset Q_2 \subset Q(\epsilon)$. Let H be a wall in A such that $z_2 \in H$ but $z_1 \notin H$. Then σ_0 and z_1 ly on different components of $A - H$.*

PROOF: This is clear from our definitions. □

For $x \in A^0$ and $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})$ let $\mathcal{B}_\lambda(x)$ be the set of all $(w(-\lambda))x$ with $w \in W$, together with the set of all $s((w(-\lambda))x)$ with $w \in W$ and with s denoting a reflection in an (affine) wall of A which separates x both from $(w(-\lambda))x$ and from the central chamber σ_0.

Definition: A vertex coefficient system on A^0 over a ring R is a collection of data

$$(\mathcal{F}(x)_{x \in A^0}, (T_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \nabla})$$

as follows:

(a) for each $x \in A^0$ an R-module $\mathcal{F}(x)$. For a subset Y of A^0 put $\mathcal{F}(Y) = \oplus_{y \in Y} \mathcal{F}(y)$.

(b) for each $\lambda \in \nabla$ an R-module endomorphism T_λ of $\mathcal{F}(A^0)$ such that

(b1) for any two $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \nabla$ we have $T_{\lambda_1} \circ T_{\lambda_2} = T_{\lambda_2} \circ T_{\lambda_1}$, and

(b2) for any $x \in A^0$ we have

$$T_\lambda(\mathcal{F}(x)) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B}_\lambda(x)). \tag{13}$$

Let $\mathbb{Z}[\nabla]$ denote the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module on the set ∇. Let $(\mathcal{F}(x)_{x \in A^0}, (T_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \nabla})$ be a vertex coefficient system. Suppose we are given a collection $\alpha = (\alpha_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \nabla}$ with $\alpha_\lambda \in R$. Consider for $0 \leq j \leq |\nabla| - 1$ the map

$$\mathcal{F}(A^0) \otimes \bigwedge^{j+1} \mathbb{Z}[\nabla] \xrightarrow{\delta_\alpha^j} \mathcal{F}(A^0) \otimes \bigwedge^{j} \mathbb{Z}[\nabla]$$

$$f \otimes (\lambda_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \lambda_{j+1}) \mapsto \sum_{s=1}^{j+1} (-1)^s (T_{\lambda_s}(f) - \alpha_{\lambda_s} f) \otimes (\lambda_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\lambda}_s \wedge \cdots \wedge \lambda_{j+1}).$$

As the T_λ for $\lambda \in \nabla$ commute with each other we see that $\delta_\alpha^j \circ \delta_\alpha^{j+1} = 0$. Writing

$$C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^j = \mathcal{F}(A^0) \otimes \bigwedge^j \mathbb{Z}[\nabla]$$

for $j \geq 0$ we obtain a Koszul complex $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet$. (So only the differentials δ_α^j depend on α, not the individual terms $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^j$.) Note that $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^j = 0$ for $j > |\nabla|$. For $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_\epsilon^\bullet = \bigoplus_{\substack{(z,Q)\in\mathfrak{P} \\ \epsilon(z,Q)\leq\epsilon}} \mathcal{F}(z) \otimes \bigwedge_{\lambda\in Q} \lambda,$$

$$C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon-}^\bullet = \bigoplus_{\substack{(z,Q)\in\mathfrak{P} \\ \epsilon(z,Q)<\epsilon}} \mathcal{F}(z) \otimes \bigwedge_{\lambda\in Q} \lambda.$$

The $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_\epsilon^\bullet$ form a separated and exhausting filtration of $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet$. Of course, the jumps in this filtration occur only at the discrete subset $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, i.e. the graded pieces

$$\overline{C}\mathcal{F}_\epsilon^\bullet = \frac{C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_\epsilon^\bullet}{C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon-}^\bullet}$$

are zero if $\epsilon \notin \mathcal{E}$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.*

(a) $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_\epsilon^\bullet$ and $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon-}^\bullet$ are subcomplexes of $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet$, i.e. they are respected by the differential of $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet$.

(b) The differential on the quotient $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}_\epsilon^\bullet$ is independent of the collection $\alpha = (\alpha_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\nabla}$.

(c) If $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$\overline{C}\mathcal{F}_\epsilon^\bullet = \bigoplus_{(z,Q)\in\mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)} \mathcal{F}(z) \otimes \bigwedge_{\lambda\in Q} \lambda$$

where the differentials on this complex are induced from those of $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet$ by composing with the inclusion maps $\mathcal{F}(z) \to \mathcal{F}(A^0)$ on the one hand, and the projection maps $\mathcal{F}(A^0) \to \mathcal{F}(z)$ on the other hand.

Proof: Let $(z, Q) \in \mathfrak{P}$. For $f \otimes \wedge_{\lambda\in Q}\lambda \in \mathcal{F}(z) \otimes \wedge_{\lambda\in Q}\lambda$ with $|Q| = j + 1$ write

$$\delta_\alpha^j(f \otimes \wedge_{\lambda\in Q}\lambda) = \sum_{(z',Q')\in\mathfrak{P}} f_{(z',Q')} \otimes \wedge_{\lambda\in Q'}\lambda,$$

listing only those (z', Q') with $f_{(z',Q')} \neq 0$. For $z' = z$ we have $\epsilon(z', Q') < \epsilon(z, Q)$ since $Q' \subset Q$. For $z' \neq z$, formula (13) shows $z' \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda(x)$, so the definition of $\epsilon(z, Q)$ gives $\epsilon(z', Q') \leq \epsilon(z, Q)$. Together this gives statement (a), while statement (b) follows already from $\epsilon(z', Q') < \epsilon(z, Q)$ for $z' = z$. For statement (c) just observe Lemma 3.2. □

4 The Koszul complex $C\mathcal{F}_V^\bullet$ of the vertex coefficient system $\mathcal{F}_V$

Notice that σ_0 is the unique chamber in X fixed by the pro-p-Iwahori subgroup I. Let $\rho : X \to A$ denote the retraction from X to A centered in σ_0 (see [6] p.86), i.e. the unique map of polysimplicial complexes $\rho : X \to A$ restricting to the identity on A and with $\rho^{-1}(\sigma_0) = \sigma_0$. We also write $\rho : X^0 \to A^0$ for the induced map between sets of special vertices. Notice that the sets $\rho^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in A^0$ are exactly the orbits for the action of I on X^0.

We fix V and $\theta : \mathfrak{H}(V) \to R$ as before and we are going to assign to $\mathcal{C}(X^0, V) = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V$ (formula (12)) a vertex coefficient system $\mathcal{F}_V$ on A^0 (depending also on θ, but we suppress this in our notation). For $x \in A^0$ put

$$\mathcal{F}_V(x) = \bigoplus_{\substack{y \in X^0 \\ \rho(y)=x}} \mathcal{C}(\{y\}, V).$$

Lemma 4.1. *The endomorphisms T_λ of $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V = \mathcal{C}(X^0, V) = \mathcal{F}_V(A^0)$ for $\lambda \in \nabla$ provide $(\mathcal{F}_V(x)_{x \in A^0}, (T_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \nabla})$ with the structure of a vertex coefficient system on A^0.*

Proof: We already know that the T_λ for $\lambda \in \nabla$ commute with each other on

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V = \mathcal{C}(X^0, V) = \oplus_{y \in X^0} \mathcal{C}(\{y\}, V) = \oplus_{x \in A^0} \mathcal{F}_V(x) = \mathcal{F}_V(A^0).$$

We verify formula (13). From formula (11) it follows that

$$T_\lambda(\mathcal{C}(\{gx_0\}, V)) \subset \mathcal{C}(gK(-\lambda)x_0, V) \tag{14}$$

for all $\lambda \in \nabla$, all $g \in G$. Any $x \in A^0$ is of the form $x = tx_0$ for some $t \in T$ (Lemma 2.3). Consider the action of the stabilizer tKt^{-1} of $x = tx_0$ in G on the set $tKt^{-1}\{(-\mu)x \,|\, \mu \in \nabla\}$. The intersection of an orbit $tKt^{-1}(-\mu)x$ with A^0 is $(W(-\mu))x$. Therefore

$$\rho(ItK(-\lambda)x_0) = \rho(tKt^{-1}(-\lambda)x) = \mathcal{B}_\lambda(x). \tag{15}$$

Together

$$\begin{aligned} T_\lambda(\mathcal{F}_V(x)) = T_\lambda(\mathcal{C}(\rho^{-1}(x), V)) = T_\lambda(\mathcal{C}(Itx_0, V)) \\ \overset{(14)}{\subset} \mathcal{C}(ItK(-\lambda)x_0, V)) \\ \overset{(15)}{=} \mathcal{F}_V(\mathcal{B}_\lambda(x)) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we used the formulae (14) and (15) as indicated. □

Suppose that we are given an R-algebra homomorphism $\chi : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to B$ such that the restriction $\chi|_{\mathfrak{H}(V)} : \mathfrak{H}(V) \to B$ of χ factors through an R-algebra homomorphism $\theta : \mathfrak{H}(V) \to R$. We put

$$M_\chi(V) = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}), \chi} B.$$

Let us write $(.)_B = (.) \otimes_R B$. For example, V_B is naturally a $B[\widetilde{K}]$-module. Let $\overline{\chi}$ denote the composition

$$R[T_\lambda]_{\lambda\in\nabla} \xrightarrow{1\otimes \mathrm{id}} \mathfrak{H}(V) \otimes_R R[T_\lambda]_{\lambda\in\nabla} \cong \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}) \xrightarrow{\chi} B. \tag{17}$$

Put $\alpha = (\alpha_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\nabla}$ with $\alpha_\lambda = \overline{\chi}(T_\lambda) \in B$. Then we may alternatively write

$$M_\chi(V) = \frac{\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V_B}{\sum_\lambda (T_\lambda - \alpha_\lambda)}$$

(where $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V_B \cong (\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V) \otimes_R B$ is formed with respect to θ, formula (8)).

Base extension $R \to B$ in Lemma 4.1 provides us with a vertex coefficient system $\mathcal{F}_{V_B}$ on A^0, so we may consider the associated Koszul complex $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B}$ defined in section 3.

Definition: We say that the complex $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B}$ is exact if it is exact in $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^j_{V_B}$ for all $j > 0$, and similarly for the subcomplexes $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon} := C_\alpha(\mathcal{F}_{V_B})^\bullet_\epsilon$ resp. the subquotient complexes $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon} := \overline{C}(\mathcal{F}_{V_B})^\bullet_\epsilon$ (some ϵ) considered in section 3, and base extensions of them. We write $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V,\epsilon} = \overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_R,\epsilon}$ (i.e. in the case $B = R$).

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that the complexes $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V,\epsilon} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p}$ are exact, for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R (including $\mathfrak{p} = 0$) and for any ϵ. Then the B-module $M_\chi(V)$ is free.*

Proof: *Step 0:* Suppose that B is a flat R-algebra or an $R/\mathfrak{p}$-algebra for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R. Since formation of $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon}$ commutes with base changes in B it follows from our hypothesis that $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon}$ is exact for all such B.

Step 1: For B as in Step 0 we claim

$$C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon} \cap \mathrm{Im}[C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^1_{V_B} \to C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^0_{V_B}] = \mathrm{Im}[C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon} \to C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^0_{V_B}]$$

for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. For this it is enough to prove

$$C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon} \cap \mathrm{Im}[C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon'} \to C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^0_{V_B}] = \mathrm{Im}[C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon} \to C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^0_{V_B}]$$

for all $\epsilon' > \epsilon$. Since the set of ϵ where the filtration $(C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon})_\epsilon$ of $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B}$ jumps is discrete, an induction shows that it is enough to prove

$$C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon} \cap \mathrm{Im}[C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon'} \to C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^0_{V_B}] = \mathrm{Im}[C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon} \to C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^0_{V_B}] \tag{18}$$

for any $\epsilon' > \epsilon$ such that $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon'} = C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon'}/C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon}$.

The containment of the right hand side in the left hand side of (18) is clear. To see the converse, let $f \in C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon'}$ and suppose that the differential $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon'} \to C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^0_{V_B}$ sends f to an element in $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon}$. This means that this image element vanishes in $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon'}$. Therefore exactness of $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon'}$ in $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon'}$, which holds true by Step 0, shows that the class $\overline{f}$ of f in $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon'}$ is the image, under the differential $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^2_{V_B,\epsilon'} \to \overline{C}\mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon'}$, of an element $\overline{g} \in \overline{C}\mathcal{F}^2_{V_B,\epsilon'}$. Lift $\overline{g}$ to an element $g \in C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^2_{V_B,\epsilon'}$ and then subtract from f the image of g under the differential

$C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^2_{V_B,\epsilon'} \to C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon'}$. Replacing f by this difference we may now assume that the class $\overline{f}$ of f in $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon'}$ vanishes. But this means that f lies in $C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon}$. Our claim follows.

Step 2: We may factor χ as

$$\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}) \xrightarrow{\theta^*} R[T_\lambda]_{\lambda\in\nabla} \xrightarrow{\overline{\chi}} B$$

with $\overline{\chi}$ as in formla (17) and with θ^* as in formula (9). For $\epsilon \geq 0$ put

$$M_{\chi,\epsilon}(V) = \frac{C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon}}{C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon} \cap \mathrm{Im}[C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^1_{V_B} \to C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B}]}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K})$ is generated as an $\mathfrak{H}(V)$-algebra by the T_λ for $\lambda \in \nabla$ (Proposition 2.1) it follows that $M_{\chi,\epsilon}(V)$ is the image in $M_\chi(V)$ of the composition

$$C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon} \longrightarrow C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B} = \mathrm{ind}^{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{K}Z} V_B \cong$$

$$\mathrm{ind}^{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{K}} V \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}),\theta^*} R[T_\lambda]_{\lambda\in\nabla} \otimes_R B \overset{\mathrm{id}\otimes\overline{\chi}\otimes\mathrm{id}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{ind}^{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{K}} V \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}),\chi} B = M_\chi(V).$$

Again, since the set of ϵ where the filtration $(C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon})_\epsilon$ of $C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B}$ jumps is discrete, to show that $M_\chi(V)$ is free over B it is therefore enough to show that the B-modules $M_{\chi,\epsilon'}(V)/M_{\chi,\epsilon}(V)$ are free, for any $\epsilon' > \epsilon$ such that $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V,\epsilon'} = C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon'}/C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon}$.

Step 3: Let again B be as in Step 0. We have

$$M_{\chi,\epsilon'}(V) = \frac{C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon'}}{C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon'} \cap \mathrm{Im}[C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^1_{V_B} \to C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B}]} = \frac{C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon'}}{\mathrm{Im}[C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon'} \to C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B}]}$$

where the second equality results from Step 1. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{M_{\chi,\epsilon'}(V)}{M_{\chi,\epsilon}(V)} &\cong \frac{C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon'}}{C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon} + \mathrm{Im}[C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon'} \to C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B}]} \\ &\cong \frac{\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon'}}{\mathrm{Im}[\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^1_{V_B,\epsilon'} \to \overline{C}\mathcal{F}^0_{V_B,\epsilon'}]} \\ &\cong \frac{\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^0_{V,\epsilon'}}{\mathrm{Im}[\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^1_{V,\epsilon'} \to \overline{C}\mathcal{F}^0_{V,\epsilon'}]} \otimes_R B.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for any R-algebra morphism $b : B \to B'$ with both B and B' as in Step 0, and for any character $\chi' : \mathfrak{H}_V(G,K) \to B'$ such that $\chi'|_{\mathfrak{H}(V)} = (b\circ\chi)|_{\mathfrak{H}(V)}$ we have a natural isomorphism of B'-modules

$$\frac{M_{\chi,\epsilon'}(V)}{M_{\chi,\epsilon}(V)} \otimes_B B' \cong \frac{M_{\chi',\epsilon'}(V)}{M_{\chi',\epsilon}(V)}.$$

We claim that the B-modules $M_{\chi,\epsilon'}(V)/M_{\chi,\epsilon}(V)$ are free, for any $\epsilon' > \epsilon$ such that $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V,\epsilon'} = C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon'}/C_\alpha\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B,\epsilon}$. By what we just said, it is enough to show this if $R = B$. Thus, if R is a field we are done. If R is a principal ideal domain we argue as follows. Since $M_{\chi,\epsilon'}(V)/M_{\chi,\epsilon}(V) \cong \overline{C}\mathcal{F}^0_{V,\epsilon'}/\mathrm{Im}[\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^1_{V,\epsilon'} \to \overline{C}\mathcal{F}^0_{V,\epsilon'}]$ is finitely generated it is enough to show

$$\dim_{\mathrm{Q}(R)}\Big(\frac{\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^0_{V,\epsilon'}}{\mathrm{Im}[\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^1_{V,\epsilon'} \to \overline{C}\mathcal{F}^0_{V,\epsilon'}]} \otimes_R \mathrm{Q}(R)\Big) = \dim_{R/\mathfrak{p}}\Big(\frac{\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^0_{V,\epsilon'}}{\mathrm{Im}[\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^1_{V,\epsilon'} \to \overline{C}\mathcal{F}^0_{V,\epsilon'}]} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p}\Big)$$

for all $\mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathrm{Q}(R)$ denotes the quotient field of R. Since $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V,\epsilon'} \otimes_R \mathrm{Q}(R)$ and $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V,\epsilon'} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p}$ are exact this boils down to showing

$$\sum_{j\geq 0}(-1)^j \dim_{\mathrm{Q}(R)}(\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^j_{V,\epsilon'} \otimes_R \mathrm{Q}(R)) = \sum_{j\geq 0}(-1)^j \dim_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^j_{V,\epsilon'} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p}).$$

But for all j the $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^j_{V,\epsilon'}$ are R-free, hence

$$\dim_{\mathrm{Q}(R)}(\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^j_{V,\epsilon'} \otimes \mathrm{Q}(R)) = \dim_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^j_{V,\epsilon'} \otimes R/\mathfrak{p})$$

and this implies what we want.

Step 4: For B as in Step 0 it follows already that $M_\chi(V)$ is B-free. In particular this follows for $B = R[T_\lambda]_{\lambda\in\nabla}$ and $\chi = \theta^* : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}) \to R[T_\lambda]_{\lambda\in\nabla}$ for any $\theta : \mathfrak{H}(V) \to R$ satisfying our hypotheses. But $M_\chi(V)$ for a general B and χ is obtained from such B and χ by base change. □

Remark: The proof shows that if R is a field then we need exactness of the $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V,\epsilon}$ only in $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^1_{V,\epsilon}$.

5 The subquotient complexes $\mathcal{K}^\bullet_D(V)$ of $C\mathcal{F}^\bullet_V$

Choose once and for all an enumeration $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{|\nabla|}$ of ∇. For a subset E of ∇ and $\lambda \in \nabla - E$ define $\sigma(\lambda,E) \in \{\pm 1\}$ as follows. Write $\nabla - E = \{\lambda_{i_1},\ldots,\lambda_{i_{i+1}}\}$ with $i_1 < \ldots < i_{i+1}$ and if $\lambda = \lambda_{i_s}$ put $\sigma(\lambda,E) = (-1)^s$.

Recall that via the splitting (3) (or rather its $\otimes\mathbb{Q}$-version) we read $X_*(\mathbf{T})$ as a subset of $X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})$. For a subset E of $\nabla(\subset X_*(\mathbf{T}))$ we may thus define

$$\gamma_{[E]} = \prod_{\lambda\in E}\lambda(p_F) \in \widetilde{T}.$$

The projection $\widetilde{T} \to X_*(\mathbf{T})$ sends $\gamma_{[E]}$ to

$$\lambda_E = \sum_{\lambda\in E}\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T}).$$

For a subset E of ∇ we put

$$\mathfrak{N}[E] = \gamma^{-1}_{[E]}\mathfrak{N}\gamma_{[E]}.$$

Notice that $\gamma_{[E]} \in \widetilde{T}_+$ and hence $\mathfrak{N}[E] \subset \mathfrak{N}[E'] \subset \mathfrak{N}[\emptyset] = \mathfrak{N}$ for $E' \subset E \subset \nabla$. For $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})$ we write $\gamma_{[\lambda]} = \gamma_{[\{\lambda\}]}$ and $\mathfrak{N}[\lambda] = \mathfrak{N}[\{\lambda\}]$. For a subset E of ∇ we define the $R[\mathfrak{N}]$-module

$$\mathrm{ind}^{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}(V) = \{f : \mathfrak{N} \longrightarrow V \mid f(i_1i_2) = (\gamma_{[E]}i_2^{-1}\gamma^{-1}_{[E]})f(i_1) \text{ for } i_1 \in \mathfrak{N}, i_2 \in \mathfrak{N}[E]\}$$

with $\mathfrak{N}$-action given by $(if)(i') = f(i^{-1}i')$ for $i,i' \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Lemma 5.1. *(a) Suppose that $\lambda \in \nabla$. We then have $\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_F) \subset \mathfrak{N}$, the composition $\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_F) \to \mathfrak{N} \to \mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]$ is surjective and*

$$\mathfrak{N}[\lambda] \cap \mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_F) \subset \mathrm{Ker}[\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_F) \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(k_F)].$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]$ naturally surjects onto $\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(k_F)$.

(b) For $\lambda \in \nabla - E$ the map

$$T_\lambda : \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}}(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E\cup\{\lambda\}]}^{\mathfrak{N}}(V)$$

$$f \mapsto [i \mapsto \sigma(\lambda, E)\xi_\lambda(f(i))]$$

is well defined and $\mathfrak{N}$-equivariant.

Proof: (a) follows easily from the definitions (that of $\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}$ has been given along with the definition of the map ξ_λ). (Warning: in general, $\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]$ is *not* normal in $\mathfrak{N}$.) In (b) we need to check that $\xi_\lambda \circ f$ for $f \in \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}}(V)$ is indeed an element of $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E\cup\{\lambda\}]}^{\mathfrak{N}}(V)$. This may be reduced to the well definedness of the operators T_λ on $\mathcal{C}(X^0, V)$, as follows. The assignment $i \mapsto i\gamma_{[E]}^{-1}$ induces a bijection $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[E] \cong \mathfrak{N}\gamma_{[E]}^{-1}\widetilde{K}/\widetilde{K}$ and then an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}}(V) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{N}\lambda_E^{-1}x_0, V)$$

(of course $\lambda_E^{-1}x_0 = \gamma_{[E]}^{-1}x_0$), and similarly for $E \cup \{\lambda\}$ instead of E. Tracing back our definitions we then see that the map in question is nothing but the map

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{N}\lambda_E^{-1}x_0, V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{N}\lambda_{E\cup\{\lambda\}}^{-1}x_0, V)$$

induced from the endomorphism T_λ of $\mathcal{C}(X^0, V)$ via the inclusion of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{N}\lambda_E^{-1}x_0, V)$ into $\mathcal{C}(X^0, V)$ (extension of functions by zero) and the projection of $\mathcal{C}(X^0, V)$ onto $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{N}\lambda_{E\cup\{\lambda\}}^{-1}x_0, V)$ (restriction of functions). □

Remark: Statement Lemma 5.1 (b) is in fact equivalent with the following statement: For $\lambda \in \nabla - E$ the R-linear endomorphism ξ_λ of V is $\mathfrak{N}[E \cup \{\lambda\}]$-equivariant if $\mathfrak{N}[E \cup \{\lambda\}]$ acts on the source V through the map

$$\mathfrak{N}[E \cup \{\lambda\}] \subset \mathfrak{N}[E] = \gamma_{[E]}^{-1}\mathfrak{N}\gamma_{[E]} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{N} \subset \widetilde{K}, \qquad \gamma_{[E]}^{-1}i\gamma_{[E]} \mapsto i \tag{19}$$

composed with the given $\widetilde{K}$-action on V, and on the target V through the map

$$\mathfrak{N}[E \cup \{\lambda\}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{N} \subset \widetilde{K}, \qquad \gamma_{[E\cup\{\lambda\}]}^{-1}i\gamma_{[E\cup\{\lambda\}]} \mapsto i \tag{20}$$

composed with the given $\widetilde{K}$-action on V.

Let us give the direct argument in case (II). We may assume $E = \emptyset$. By construction, ξ_λ is equivariant for the natural action of the subgroup $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_F) \cdot \widetilde{K}_1 = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{-\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_F) \cdot \widetilde{K}_1$ of $\widetilde{K}$ on V, where $\widetilde{K}_1 = \ker[\widetilde{K} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(k_F)]$. Now $\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]$ is contained in this subgroup (cf. Lemma 5.1

(a)). Therefore, as the image of ξ_λ is contained in $V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(k_F)}$, it is enough to show that for any $i \in \mathfrak{N}$ the elements $\lambda(p_F)^{-1} i \lambda(p_F)$ and i of $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{-\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_F)\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_F) \cdot \widetilde{K}_1$ map to the same element in $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{-\lambda}(k_F)$. This is shown in [17] Proposition 3.8. □

For subset D of ∇ and for $j \geq 0$ define the $R[\mathfrak{N}]$-module

$$\mathcal{K}_D^j(V) = \bigoplus_{\substack{E \subset D \\ |E|=j}} \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}}(V).$$

Using as differentials the sums of the maps $T_\lambda : \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}}(V) \to \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E\cup\{\lambda\}]}^{\mathfrak{N}}(V)$ of Lemma 5.1 we obtain a complex of $R[\mathfrak{N}]$-modules

$$\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V) = [0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_D^0(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_D^1(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_D^2(V) \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_D^{|D|}(V)]. \tag{21}$$

It will be formally convenient to put $\mathcal{K}_D^j(V) = 0$ for $j < 0$.

Definition: We say that the complex $\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V)$ is exact if it is exact in $\mathcal{K}_D^j(V)$ for all $j < |D|$.

Lemma 5.2. *If the complex $\mathcal{K}_\nabla^\bullet(V)$ is exact, then the complexes $\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V)$ are exact for any $D \subset \nabla$.*

Proof: We argue by descending induction on $|D|$. It is enough to show that if $\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V)$ is exact and if $D' = D - \{\lambda\}$ with $\lambda \in D$, then $\mathcal{K}_{D'}^\bullet(V)$ is exact. Consider the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_D^j(V) = \bigoplus_{\substack{E \subset D \\ |E|=j}} \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V &= \Big(\bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \notin E \subset D \\ |E|=j}} \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V\Big) \bigoplus \Big(\bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \in E \subset D \\ |E|=j}} \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V\Big) \\ &= \mathcal{K}_{D'}^j(V) \bigoplus \Big(\bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \in E \subset D \\ |E|=j}} \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V\Big).\end{aligned}$$

If j varies the direct summands $\oplus_{\substack{\lambda \in E \subset D \\ |E|=j}} \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V$ define a subcomplex of $\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V)$ whose quotient complex is isomorphic with $\mathcal{K}_{D'}^\bullet(V)$. We may identify this subcomplex as follows. We choose representatives $u \in \mathfrak{N}$ for the cosets $u\mathfrak{N}[\lambda] \in \mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]$. For $\lambda \in E \subset D$ we then have the bijections of left cosets

$$\begin{aligned} u\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]/\mathfrak{N}[E] &\cong \mathfrak{N}[\lambda]/\mathfrak{N}[E] \\ &\cong \mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[E'] \qquad \text{with } E' = E - \{\lambda\},\end{aligned}$$

the first one induced by multiplication with u^{-1}, the second one by conjugation with $\lambda(p_F)$. As we may view $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[E]$ as the disjoint union of the $u\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]/\mathfrak{N}[E]$ for all $u\mathfrak{N}[\lambda] \in \mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]$ this provides isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \in E \subset D \\ |E|=j}} \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V &\cong \bigoplus_{\substack{E' \subset D' \\ |E'|=j-1}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]} \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E']}^{\mathfrak{N}} V \\ &= \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]} \mathcal{K}_{D'}^{j-1}(V).\end{aligned}$$

These identifications are compatible with the differentials in $\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{D'}^{\bullet-1}(V)$. The conclusion is that we have an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]} \mathcal{K}_{D'}^{\bullet-1}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{D'}^\bullet(V) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In cohomology we obtain the long exact sequence

$$\ldots \longrightarrow h^{s-1}(\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V)) \longrightarrow h^{s-1}(\mathcal{K}_{D'}^\bullet(V)) \longrightarrow h^s(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]} \mathcal{K}_{D'}^{\bullet-1}(V)) \longrightarrow h^s(\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V)) \longrightarrow \ldots.$$

Exactness of $\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V)$ means $h^s(\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V)) = 0$ for all $0 \leq s < |D|$. The long exact cohomology sequence therefore implies

$$h^s(\mathcal{K}_{D'}^\bullet(V)) \cong h^{s+1}(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]} \mathcal{K}_{D'}^{\bullet-1}(V)) \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]} h^s(\mathcal{K}_{D'}^\bullet(V))$$

for all $0 \leq s < |D| - 1 = |D'|$. As $|\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]| > 1$ this implies $h^s(\mathcal{K}_{D'}^\bullet(V)) = 0$ for all $0 \leq s < |D'|$, i.e. exactness of $\mathcal{K}_{D'}^\bullet(V)$. □

For $x \in X^0$ let $K_x \subset G$ denote the maximal compact open subgroup fixing x. If more specifically $x \in A^0$ then for each $\alpha \in \Phi$ the set of special vertixes in A which are fixed by $U_\alpha \cap K_x$ is the set of special vertices of a halfspace in A; its boundary is a wall containing x (and each wall through x is obtained in this way).

For $y \in A^0$ let $I_y = K_y \cap I$, the stabilizer of y in I. It is generated by its subgroups $I_y \cap U_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$. For $y, z \in A^0$ let Φ_y^z denote the set of all $\alpha \in \Phi$ such that $U_\alpha \cap K_y$ is *not* contained in K_z, i.e. does not fix z. Let U_y^z denote the subgroup of G generated by the $U_\alpha \cap K_y$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_y^z$.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that for each wall H in A with $y \in H$ but $z \notin H$ we have: z and σ_0 do not ly in the same component of $A - H$. Then $I_y.z = U_y^z.z$.*

Proof: This is clear as σ_0 is the unique chamber fixed by I. □

Proposition 5.4. *For any $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists a subset D of ∇ such that the complex $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}_{V,\epsilon}^\bullet$ is isomorphic with a direct sum of complexes of the form $\mathcal{K}_D^{|D|-\bullet}(V)$.*

Proof: (1) Let $E \subset \nabla$. Each wall H in A containing x_0 but not containing $(-\lambda_E)x_0$ separates $(-\lambda_E)x_0$ from σ_0. Moreover, for each $\alpha \in \Phi_{x_0}^{(-\lambda_E)x_0}$ we have $U_\alpha \cap K_{x_0} = U_\alpha \cap K \subset \mathfrak{N}$, hence $U_{x_0}^{(-\lambda_E)x_0} \subset \mathfrak{N}$. It therefore follows from Lemma 5.3 that

$$I.(-\lambda_E)x_0 = I_{x_0}.(-\lambda_E)x_0 = U_{x_0}^{(-\lambda_E)x_0}.(-\lambda_E)x_0 = \mathfrak{N}.(-\lambda_E)x_0. \tag{22}$$

Since $\mathfrak{N}[E]$ is the stabilizer of $(-\lambda_E)x_0$ in $\mathfrak{N}$ we obtain an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{N}$-representations

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}}(V) \cong \mathcal{C}(I.(-\lambda_E)x_0, V). \tag{23}$$

Now let $D \subset \nabla$. Our definitions are made in such a way that the isomorphisms (23), tensored on the right hand side with (the free rank one module generated by) $\bigwedge_{\lambda \in D-E} \lambda$, induce an isomorphism of complexes

$$\mathcal{K}_D^\bullet(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{E \subset D \\ |E|=\bullet}} \mathcal{C}(I.(-\lambda_E)x_0, V) \otimes \bigwedge_{\lambda \in D-E} \lambda,$$

the right hand side viewed as a subquotient complex of $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_V^{|D|-\bullet}$ (i.e. with differentials obtained from those of $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}_V^{|D|-\bullet}$ by composing them with the natural inclusions $\mathcal{C}(I.(-\lambda_E)x_0, V) \to \mathcal{C}(A^0, V)$ (extension of functions by 0) resp. projections $\mathcal{C}(A^0, V) \to \mathcal{C}(I.(-\lambda_E)x_0, V)$ (restriction of functions)).

(2) Put $y_\epsilon = \sum_{\lambda \in Q(\epsilon)} (w_{z_\epsilon} \lambda) z_\epsilon$. For $i_1, i_2 \in I$ and $(z, Q) \in \mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)$ with $i_1 z = i_2 z$ we also have $i_1 y_\epsilon = i_2 y_\epsilon$. Indeed, one may deduce from Lemma 3.3 that each minimal gallery in the affine Coxeter complex A connecting the chamber σ_0 with the vertex $i_1 z = i_2 z$ must contain $i_1 y_\epsilon$ and $i_2 y_\epsilon$, but then necessarily $i_1 y_\epsilon = i_2 y_\epsilon$, as desired. It follows that

$$\overline{C}\mathcal{F}_{V,\epsilon}^\bullet \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I/I_{y_\epsilon}} \Big(\bigoplus_{(z,Q) \in \mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)} \mathcal{C}(i I_{y_\epsilon}.z, V) \otimes \bigwedge_{\lambda \in Q} \lambda \Big).$$

The proof of Lemma 4.1 (i.e. formula (14)) shows that the differential of $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}_{V,\epsilon}^\bullet$ respects this I/I_{y_ϵ}-indexed decomposition. Therefore the complex $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}_{V,\epsilon}^\bullet$ is isomorphic with the direct sum, indexed by $i \in I/I_{y_\epsilon}$, of its subcomplexes

$$\bigoplus_{(z,Q) \in \mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)} \mathcal{C}(i I_{y_\epsilon}.z, V) \otimes \bigwedge_{\lambda \in Q} \lambda. \tag{24}$$

These complexes are all isomorphic (via i), so it is enough to show that the complex (24) with $i = 1$ is isomorphic with $\mathcal{K}_D^{|D|-\bullet}(V)$ for some D.

(3) Put $D = Q(\epsilon)$. Sending $(\sum_{\lambda \in Q} (w_{z_\epsilon} \lambda) z_\epsilon, Q)$ to $(-\lambda_{D-Q})x_0$ is a bijection

$$\mathfrak{P}(\epsilon) \cong \{(-\lambda_E)x_0 \,|\, E \subset D\}. \tag{25}$$

It sends $(z_\epsilon, \emptyset)$ to $(-\lambda_D)x_0$ and sends $(y_\epsilon, Q(\epsilon))$ to x_0. Let $t \in \widetilde{T}$ such that $t y_\epsilon = x_0$. Then the bijection (25) can be described by the formula $w_{z_\epsilon}^{-1} t z = (-\lambda_{D-Q})x_0$ for all $(z, Q) \in \mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)$. Let $n \in N(\widetilde{T})$ denote a lift of $w_{z_\epsilon}^{-1} \in W = N(\widetilde{T})/\widetilde{T}$ and put $g = nt \in \widetilde{G}$. Then the bijection (25) can also be described by the formula $gz = (-\lambda_{D-Q})x_0$ for all $(z, Q) \in \mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)$. For any $(z, Q) \in \mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)$ we infer from Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 5.3 that $I_{y_\epsilon}.z = U_{y_\epsilon}^z.z$. On the other hand we have $I.(-\lambda_{D-Q})x_0 = U_{x_0}^{(-\lambda_{D-Q})x_0}.(-\lambda_{D-Q})x_0$ as noticed in formula (22) above. Together it follows that g induces for any $(z, Q) \in \mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)$ a bijection of orbits $I_{y_\epsilon}.z \cong I.(-\lambda_{D-Q})x_0$. But then g also induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{C}(I_{y_\epsilon}.z, V) \cong \mathcal{C}(I.(-\lambda_{D-Q})x_0, V)$. It is clear that these fit together into an isomorphism of complexes

$$\bigoplus_{(z,Q) \in \mathfrak{P}(\epsilon)} \mathcal{C}(I_{y_\epsilon}.z, V) \otimes \bigwedge_{\lambda \in Q} \lambda \cong \bigoplus_{Q \subset D} \mathcal{C}(I.(-\lambda_{D-Q})x_0, V) \otimes \bigwedge_{\lambda \in Q} \lambda.$$

Combining with what we saw in (1) and (2) we are done. □

Written out, the natural map $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B} \longrightarrow M_\chi(V)$ is the $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V_B \otimes \bigwedge^{|\nabla|} \mathbb{Z}[\nabla] \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}Z}^{\widetilde{G}} V_B \otimes \bigwedge^{0} \mathbb{Z}[\nabla] \longrightarrow M_\chi(V) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{26}$$

Corollary 5.5. *If the complex $\mathcal{K}^\bullet_\nabla(V) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p}$ is exact for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R (including $\mathfrak{p} = 0$) then the B-module $M_\chi(V)$ is free and the Koszul complex (26) is an exact resolution of $M_\chi(V)$.*

Proof: Proposition 5.4 shows that the complexes $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V,\epsilon}$ are direct sums of complexes isomorphic with $\mathcal{K}_D^{|D|-\bullet}(V)$ for suitable $D \subset \nabla$. Now notice that formation of all the complexes involved commutes with base changes $R \to R/\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore Lemma 5.2 and our hypothesis show that the complexes $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V,\epsilon} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p}$ are exact, thus the B-module $M_\chi(V)$ is free by Proposition 4.2.

For the second statement, assume first that $B = R[T_\lambda]_{\lambda\in\nabla}$ and that $\chi = \theta^* : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to R[T_\lambda]_{\lambda\in\nabla}$ (with θ^* as in formula (9)). Since B is R-flat, the exactness of the complexes $\mathcal{K}_D^{|D|-\bullet}(V)$ — here again we invoke Lemma 5.2 and our hypothesis — implies the exactness of the complexes $\mathcal{K}_D^{|D|-\bullet}(V_B)$ for all $D \subset \nabla$. Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 5.4 show that the complex $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B}$ admits a filtration with graded pieces isomorphic with complexes of the form $\mathcal{K}_D^{|D|-\bullet}(V_B)$ for suitable $D \subset \nabla$. Together we obtain the exactness of the complexes $C_\alpha \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V_B}$. These are therefore B-free resolutions of the B-free module $M_\chi(V)$. As all the terms are B-free, tensoring with an arbitrary B-algebra does not spoil the exactness. Since a general B and χ (with presribed $\chi|_{\mathfrak{H}(V)} = \theta$) is obtained from the specific $B = R[T_\lambda]_{\lambda\in\nabla}$ and $\chi = \theta^*$ by base change, we obtain that the Koszul complex (26) is an exact resolution of $M_\chi(V)$, for any B and χ. □

Remark: The complexes $\overline{C}\mathcal{F}^\bullet_{V,\epsilon}$ truly depend on $\theta : \mathfrak{H}(V) \to R$, but the complexes $\mathcal{K}^\bullet_D(V)$ visibly do not. Moreover, the $\mathcal{K}^\bullet_D(V)$ only depend on the restriction to $\mathfrak{N}$ of the given $\widetilde{K}$-action on V.

6 Exactness criteria for $\mathcal{K}^\bullet_\nabla(V)$

Corollary 6.1. *Suppose that*

— *either $|\nabla| = 1$,*

— *or $|\nabla| = 2$, we are in case (I) and the action of $\mathfrak{N}$ on V (induced by the action of $\widetilde{K}$ on V) is trivial.*

Then the B-module $M_\chi(V)$ is free and the Koszul complex (26) is a resolution of $M_\chi(V)$, for any R-algebra B and any $\chi : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to B$ such that $\chi|_{\mathfrak{H}(V)}$ factors through R.

Proof: If $|\nabla| = 1$, say $\nabla = \{\lambda\}$, it is enough to show, by Corollary 5.5, the injectivity of

$$V \longrightarrow \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V, \quad v \mapsto [\mathfrak{N} \to V, i \mapsto \xi_\lambda(i^{-1}v)].$$

[We identified $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}}^{\mathfrak{N}} V \cong V$, $f \mapsto f(1)$.] In case (I) (where $\xi_\lambda = \mathrm{id}_V$) this injectivity is trivial. In case (III) it follows from case (II). In case (II) we need to show that $\cap_{i \in \mathfrak{N}} i\ker(\xi_\lambda) = 0$. Now $\ker(\xi_\lambda)$ is the kernel of the natural projection $V \to V_{\mathbf{N}_\lambda(k_F)}$, and from this we see that the collection of subspaces $i\ker(\xi_\lambda)$ for $i \in \mathfrak{N}$ can be identified with the collection of the kernels of $|k_F|$ of the $|k_F|+1$ many functionals discussed in Lemma 21 of [1]. From that Lemma 21 of [1] — which in a slightly different formulation had been proved earlier by Teitelbaum — we indeed obtain $\cap_{i \in \mathfrak{N}} i\ker(\xi_\lambda) = 0$ as desired.

If $|\nabla| = 2$, say $\nabla = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, and if we are in case (I) and $\mathfrak{N}$ acts trivially on V, then we need to show, by Corollary 5.5, the exactness of

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}}^{\mathfrak{N}} V \longrightarrow \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[\lambda_1]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V \oplus \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[\lambda_2]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V \longrightarrow \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[\{\lambda_1,\lambda_2\}]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V.$$

Injectivity even of $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}}^{\mathfrak{N}} V \longrightarrow \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[\lambda_1]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V$ is obvious. The exactness in $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[\lambda_1]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V \oplus \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[\lambda_2]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V$ follows easily from the fact that $\mathfrak{N}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{N}[\lambda_1]$ and $\mathfrak{N}[\lambda_2]$. [We have $\mathfrak{N} \cap U_{\alpha_1} \subset \mathfrak{N}[\lambda_1]$ and $\mathfrak{N} \cap U_{\alpha_2} \subset \mathfrak{N}[\lambda_2]$ if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Phi^-$ denote the negatives of the two simple roots, and $\mathfrak{N}$ is even generated by $\mathfrak{N} \cap U_{\alpha_1}$ and $\mathfrak{N} \cap U_{\alpha_2}$.] $\square$

Remark: Corollary 6.1 for $|\nabla| = 1$ has been proved first in the paper [1] by Barthel and Livné. The freeness of the B-module $M_\chi(V)$ in case (I) for $\widetilde{G} = G = \mathrm{PGL}_3(F)$ is the main result of the paper [2] by Bellaïche and Otwinowska (where only flatness is claimed, but in fact freeness is proved). However, it seems that already Corollary 6.1 (b) for $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{GL}_3(F)$ does not easily follow from that result for $\mathrm{PGL}_3(F)$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a pro-p-group, let*

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} C_{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_i} C_i \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} C_{i-1} \xrightarrow{d_{i-2}} \ldots$$

be a complex of $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathfrak{G}]$-modules with $C_i = 0$ for $i >> 0$. Fix $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. If for all $m \geq s \geq 0$ the complexes

$$\ldots \longrightarrow H^s(\mathfrak{G}, C_{i+m+2}) \longrightarrow H^s(\mathfrak{G}, C_{i+m+1}) \longrightarrow H^s(\mathfrak{G}, C_{i+m})$$

are exact, then $\ldots \longrightarrow C_{i+2} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} C_{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_i} C_i$ is exact.

Proof: We argue by descending induction on i, beginning with the maximal i with $C_i \neq 0$ (if $C_i = 0$ for all i then there is nothing to prove). In the induction step we may assume that

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{d_{i+3}} C_{i+3} \xrightarrow{d_{i+2}} C_{i+2} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} C_{i+1}$$

is exact, and we need to show $\mathrm{Ker}(d_i) = \mathrm{Im}(d_{i+1})$. If $\mathrm{Ker}(d_i)/\mathrm{Im}(d_{i+1}) \neq 0$ then $H^0(\mathfrak{G}, \mathrm{Ker}(d_i)/\mathrm{Im}(d_{i+1})) \neq 0$ since $\mathfrak{G}$ is a pro-p-group and $\mathrm{Ker}(d_i)/\mathrm{Im}(d_{i+1})$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space. Therefore we only need to show $H^0(\mathfrak{G}, \mathrm{Ker}(d_i)/\mathrm{Im}(d_{i+1})) = 0$, or equivalently, the injectivity of

$$d_i : H^0(\mathfrak{G}, C_{i+1}/\mathrm{Im}(d_{i+1})) \to H^0(\mathfrak{G}, C_i).$$

By descending induction on j we prove that, more generally,

$$d_{i+j} : H^j(\mathfrak{G}, C_{i+j+1}/\mathrm{Im}(d_{i+j+1})) \to H^j(\mathfrak{G}, C_{i+j})$$

is injective, for all $j \geq 0$. For $j >> 0$ there is nothing to show. Now assume that we know this claim for some $j > 0$. By the (outer) induction hypothesis we know $\mathrm{Im}(d_{i+1+j}) = \mathrm{Ker}(d_{i+j})$, hence that d_{i+j} induces an isomorphism $C_{i+j+1}/\mathrm{Im}(d_{i+j+1}) \cong \mathrm{Im}(d_{i+j})$. Therefore, the (inner) induction hypothesis and the long exact cohomology sequence associated with the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}(d_{i+j}) \longrightarrow C_{i+j} \longrightarrow \frac{C_{i+j}}{\mathrm{Im}(d_{i+j})} \longrightarrow 0$$

show that the map $H^{j-1}(\mathfrak{G}, C_{i+j}) \to H^{j-1}(\mathfrak{G}, C_{i+j}/\mathrm{Im}(d_{i+j}))$ is surjective. On the other hand, by hypothesis the sequence

$$H^{j-1}(\mathfrak{G}, C_{i+j+1}) \stackrel{d_{i+j}}{\longrightarrow} H^{j-1}(\mathfrak{G}, C_{i+j}) \stackrel{d_{i+j-1}}{\longrightarrow} H^{j-1}(\mathfrak{G}, C_{i+j-1})$$

is exact. Together it follows that

$$d_{i+j-1} : H^{j-1}(\mathfrak{G}, C_{i+j}/\mathrm{Im}(d_{i+j})) \to H^{j-1}(\mathfrak{G}, (C_{i+j-1})$$

is injective, as desired. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let R be a discrete valuation ring with residue field k. A complex*

$$\ldots \longrightarrow C_{i+1} \longrightarrow C_i \longrightarrow C_{i-1} \longrightarrow \ldots$$

of finite free R-modules with $C_i = 0$ for $i >> 0$ is exact if the reduced complex

$$\ldots \longrightarrow C_{i+1} \otimes k \longrightarrow C_i \otimes k \longrightarrow C_{i-1} \otimes k \longrightarrow \ldots$$

is exact.

Proof: Let i_0 be such that $C_i = 0$ for $i > i_0$. By Nakayama's Lemma, that $C_{i_0} \otimes k \to C_{i_0-1} \otimes k$ is injective implies that the image of $C_{i_0} \to C_{i_0-1}$ is R-free of the same rank as C_{i_0} and is a direct summand of C_{i_0-1}. Hence $C_{i_0} \to C_{i_0-1}$ is injective and $C_{i_0-1}/\mathrm{Im}[C_{i_0} \to C_{i_0-1}]$ is R-free. Replacing C_{i_0} by 0 and C_{i_0-1} by $C_{i_0-1}/\mathrm{Im}[C_{i_0} \to C_{i_0-1}]$ we obtain a new complex satisfying the hypotheses of our Lemma. We conclude by induction. □

Suppose that R is a discrete valuation ring with residue field k of characteristic p, or $R = k$ is itself a field of characteristic p (in the following read $V \otimes_R k = V$ if $R = k$). For $\lambda \in \nabla$ and $i \geq 0$ let $\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]$ act on $V \otimes_R k$ through the isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{N}[\lambda] = \gamma_{[\lambda]}^{-1} \mathfrak{N} \gamma_{[\lambda]} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}, \qquad \gamma_{[\lambda]}^{-1} i \gamma_{[\lambda]} \mapsto i \tag{27}$$

composed with the inclusion of $\mathfrak{N}$ into $\widetilde{K}$ and the given $\widetilde{K}$-action on V. Consider the two maps

$$\iota_\lambda^i, \rho_\lambda^i : H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V \otimes_R k) \to H^i(\mathfrak{N}[\lambda], V \otimes_R k)$$

defined as follows. The map ρ_λ^i is the one induced in cohomology by the inclusion of groups $\mathfrak{N}[\lambda] \subset \mathfrak{N}$ together with the $\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]$-equivariant map $\xi_\lambda : V \otimes_R k \longrightarrow V \otimes_R k$ (cf. Lemma 5.1 and the remark following it). The map ι_λ^i is the isomorphism induced by conjugation with $\gamma_{[\lambda]}$.

Proposition 6.4. *Suppose that for any $0 \leq i < |\nabla|$ the k-vector space $H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V \otimes_R k)$ is generated by elements x with the following property: for any $\lambda \in \nabla$ we have $\rho_\lambda^i(x) = \theta_{\lambda,x}\iota_\lambda^i(x)$ for some $\theta_{\lambda,x} \in k$, and moreover $\theta_{\lambda,x} \neq 0$ for at least one λ (depending on x).*

Then the complexes $\mathcal{K}_\nabla^\bullet(V)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\nabla^\bullet(V) \otimes_R k$ are exact. In particular (Corollary 5.5), the B-module $M_\chi(V)$ is free and the Koszul complex (26) is a resolution of $M_\chi(V)$, for any R-algebra B and any R-algebra homomorphism $\chi : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to B$ such that $\chi|_{\mathfrak{H}(V)}$ factors through R.

We emphasize that our hypothesis concerns only small cohomology degrees i, i.e. cohomology degrees i strictly smaller than $|\nabla|$.

Proof: Suppose first that R is a discrete valuation ring with residue field k of characteristic p. (Then we must be in case (I) or in case (III).) Since formation of the complex of R-modules $\mathcal{K}_\nabla^\bullet(V)$ commutes with base changes in R, Lemma 6.3 tells us that we may reduce this case to the case where $R = k$, so from now on in this proof R is a field of characteristic p. Then we must be in case (I) or in case (II); in case (I) the action of the pro-p-group $\mathfrak{N}$ on the one-dimensional k-vector space V is necessarily trivial.

Step 1: We appeal to Lemma 6.2 which tells us that, since R is a field of characteristic p, to show exactness of the complex $\mathcal{K}_\nabla^\bullet(V)$ it is enough to show exactness of the complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \nabla} H^i(\mathfrak{N}, \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V) \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{E \subset \nabla \\ |E| = |\nabla| - i}} H^i(\mathfrak{N}, \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{N}[E]}^{\mathfrak{N}} V) \tag{28}$$

for all $0 \leq i < |\nabla|$. Using Shapiro's Lemma this means proving exactness of the complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \nabla} H^i(\mathfrak{N}[\lambda], V) \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{E \subset \nabla \\ |E| = |\nabla| - i}} H^i(\mathfrak{N}[E], V) \tag{29}$$

for all $0 \leq i < |\nabla|$. Here $\mathfrak{N}[E]$ acts on V through the isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{N}[E] = \gamma_{[E]}^{-1}\mathfrak{N}\gamma_{[E]} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}, \qquad \gamma_{[E]}^{-1} i \gamma_{[E]} \mapsto i$$

composed with the inclusion of $\mathfrak{N}$ into $\widetilde{K}$ and the given $\widetilde{K}$-action on V. The differentials are the sums of the maps

$$\rho_{E, E\cup\{\lambda\}}^i : H^i(\mathfrak{N}[E], V) \to H^i(\mathfrak{N}[E \cup \{\lambda\}], V)$$

induced in cohomology by the inclusions of groups $\mathfrak{N}[E \cup \{\lambda\}] \subset \mathfrak{N}[E]$ together with the $\mathfrak{N}[E \cup \{\lambda\}]$-equivariant maps $\sigma(\lambda, E)\xi_\lambda : V \longrightarrow V$ (cf. Lemma 5.1 and the remark following it). We have $\rho^i_\lambda = \pm\rho^i_{\emptyset,\{\lambda\}}$.

Step 2: For any $E' \subset E \subset \nabla$ and $i \geq 0$ conjugation with $\gamma_{[E-E']}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\iota^i_{E',E} : H^i(\mathfrak{N}[E'], V) \to H^i(\mathfrak{N}[E], V).$$

We have $\iota^i_\lambda = \iota^i_{\emptyset,\{\lambda\}}$. Moreover, for $E \subset \nabla - \{\lambda\}$ we have $\rho^i_{E,E\cup\{\lambda\}} \circ \iota^i_{\emptyset,E} = \pm\iota^i_{\{\lambda\},E\cup\{\lambda\}} \circ \rho^i_{\emptyset,\{\lambda\}}$.

For an element $x \in H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V)$ as described in the hypothesis of Proposition 6.4 and for any $E \subset \nabla$ and any $\lambda \in \nabla - E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^i_{E,E\cup\{\lambda\}}(\iota^i_{\emptyset,E}(x)) &= \pm\iota^i_{\{\lambda\},E\cup\{\lambda\}}(\rho^i_{\emptyset,\{\lambda\}}(x)) \\ &= \pm\theta_{\lambda,x}\iota^i_{\{\lambda\},E\cup\{\lambda\}}(\iota^i_{\emptyset,\{\lambda\}}(x)) \\ &= \pm\theta_{\lambda,x}\iota^i_{\emptyset,E\cup\{\lambda\}}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Now choose an R-basis of $H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V)$ consisting of elements x as described in the hypothesis of Proposition 6.4. Consider the direct sum decomposition of $H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V)$ into its one dimensional subspaces $R.x$ spanned by these basis elements. By means of the isomorphisms $\iota^i_{\emptyset,E} : H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V) \cong H^i(\mathfrak{N}[E], V)$ this decomposition induces a decomposition of $H^i(\mathfrak{N}[E], V)$ into one dimensional subspaces $R.\iota^i_{\emptyset,E}(x)$, for each $E \subset \nabla$. By formula (30) these decompositions in fact define a direct sum decomposition of the complex (29). For each x in our chosen R-basis of $H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V)$ there is some $\lambda \in \nabla$ such that $\theta_{\lambda,x}$ is a unit in k. By formula (30) this means that the maps $\rho^i_{E,E\cup\{\lambda\}} : R.\iota^i_{\emptyset,E}(x) \to R.\iota^i_{\emptyset,E\cup\{\lambda\}}(x)$ are isomorphisms for any $E \subset \nabla - \{\lambda\}$ (for this fixed λ depending on x). From this we easily deduce that the direct summands of the complex (29) spanned by the $\iota^i_{\emptyset,E}(x)$ are exact. Thus the complex (29) must be exact, and we are done.

[In fact this argument shows that not just the complexes (29) for $0 \leq i < |\nabla|$ are exact, but even the continued complexes up to the last term $H^i(\mathfrak{N}[\nabla], V)$.] □

7 Group Cohomology of integral unipotent radicals

In this section, which is independent of the preceding ones, we transfer a result of Dwyer, Friedlander/Parshall and Polo/Tilouine on Lie algebra cohomology to a result on the cohomology of the group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup in a split semisimple algebraic group $\mathcal{G}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ denote a split maximal torus in $\mathcal{G}$, let $\Phi = \Phi^+ \coprod \Phi^-$ denote the choice of a system of positive resp. negative roots in the corresponding root system Φ. Let $\ell : \mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ denote the corresponding length function on the Weyl group $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{T})$. For $\alpha \in \Phi$ let $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{G}$ denote the corresponding root subgroup. Let

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^-} \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

and for $w \in \mathcal{W}$ let

$$\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^- \cap w\Phi^+} \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w) = \prod_{\alpha \in w\Phi^+} \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Thus $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, resp. $\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, is the group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of the unipotent radical $\mathcal{N}$ of a Borel subgroup in $\mathcal{G}$, resp. of an algebraic subgroup $\mathcal{N}_w$ of $\mathcal{N}$, and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ obtained from $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ by conjugation with an element in $\mathcal{W}$. The dimension of the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-analytic group $\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is $\ell(w)$.

The set of p-restricted weights is

$$X_1^*(\mathcal{T}) = \{\lambda \in X^*(\mathcal{T}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \,|\, 0 \leq \langle \lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle < p \text{ for all simple roots } \alpha\}.$$

For any $\mu \in X_1^*(\mathcal{T})$ there is a unique irreducible rational $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-representation $V(\mu)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ such that the space $V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ of coinvariants for the action of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ on $V(\mu)$ is one dimensional and such that $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ acts on $V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ through the character μ. (We regard $V(\mu)$ as a representation of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ via the natural surjection $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$.) In [16], Appendix, Proposition 1.3 it is shown that this $V(\mu)$ is irreducible even as a representation of the abstract group $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and that, if $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ has simply connected derived group, then each irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)]$-module arises in this way.

Theorem 7.1. *Assume that the algebraic group $\mathcal{G}$ is simple. Let ρ denote the half sum of the positive roots. Suppose that $\mu \in X_1^*(\mathcal{T})$ satisfies $\langle \mu + \rho, \check{\beta} \rangle \leq p$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^+$. Then the natural map*

$$H^i(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)) \longrightarrow \prod_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W} \\ \ell(w) = i}} H^i(\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}) \tag{31}$$

is bijective, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The map in (31) is the product of the natural maps induced by the projections of modules $V(\mu) \to V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}$ and the inclusion of groups $\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. (The action of $\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ on $V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}$ is trivial, of course.)

Let α_0 denote the highest short root. The conditions on μ imply $p \geq h - 1$, where $h = \langle \rho, \check{\alpha}_0 \rangle + 1$ is the Coxeter number of the root system Φ. Indeed, we have

$$p \geq \langle \mu + \rho, \check{\alpha}_0 \rangle = h - 1 + \langle \mu, \check{\alpha}_0 \rangle \geq h - 1 \tag{32}$$

(cf. [29] p. 14). Using the terminology of alcoves the conditions on μ are equivalent with saying that μ belongs to the '(closed) bottom alcove' (with respect to p) in the cone of dominant weights. (Observe that it corresponds to (the intersection with $X^*(\mathcal{T})$ of) the *closure* of the region referred to as the 'lowest alcove' e.g. in [16].)

We are going to prove Theorem 7.1 via a comparison with the analogous statement in Lie algebra cohomology. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ denote the Lie algebra of the algebraic group $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. More generally, for $w \in \mathcal{W}$ let $\mathfrak{n}_w$ denote the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{N}_{w,\mathbb{F}_p}$.

Theorem 7.2. *(Dwyer, Friedlander/Parshall, Polo/Tilouine) Assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is simple and that $\mu \in X_1^*(\mathcal{T})$ satisfies $\langle \mu + \rho, \check{\beta} \rangle \leq p$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^+$. Then the natural map*

$$H^i(\mathfrak{n}, V(\mu)) \longrightarrow \prod_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W} \\ \ell(w)=i}} H^i(\mathfrak{n}_w, V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}) \tag{33}$$

is bijective, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof: Recall the dot action of $\mathcal{W}$ on $X^*(\mathcal{T})$ defined by $w \cdot \eta = w(\eta - \rho) + \rho$ (where $w \in \mathcal{W}$, $\eta \in X^*(\mathcal{T})$). For $\eta \in X^*(\mathcal{T})$ let $L(\eta)$ denote the one dimensional $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-representation over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ which η defines. Let $w_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ denote the longest element. In [23] it is shown that we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{T}$-modules

$$H^i(\mathfrak{n}, V(\mu)) \cong \prod_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W} \\ \ell(w)=i}} L(w \cdot (w_0 \mu)). \tag{34}$$

In [13] Theorem 2.5 this result had been proved under the slightly stronger hypothesis $\langle \mu + \rho, \check{\beta} \rangle \leq p - 1$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^+$. In [11] it had been proved for $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{SL}_n$ and $\mu = 0$. In [29] Theorem 4.2.1 the isomorphism (34) is reproved (in general), and it is made explicit. Namely, the following is shown. In the standard chain complex $\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}^*) \otimes V(\mu)$ computing the Lie algebra cohomology $H^i(\mathfrak{n}, V(\mu))$ the weight space of $w \cdot (w_0 \mu)$ is one dimensional, spanned by a vector

$$f_{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge f_{\alpha_i} \otimes v_{w(w_0\mu)} \tag{35}$$

where $\Phi^- \cap w\Phi^+ = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i\}$, where $\{f_\alpha \,|\, \alpha \in \Phi^-\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{n}^*$ consisting of weight vectors, and where $v_{w(w_0\mu)} \in V(\mu)$ is a non zero vector of weight $w(w_0\mu)$ (no dot here). Now $\mathcal{T}$ acts on $V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}$ through $w(w_0\mu)$ and we have a $\mathcal{T}$-equivariant surjection

$$\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}^*) \otimes V(\mu) \longrightarrow \wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}_w^*) \otimes V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}.$$

Hence also the weight space of $w \cdot (w_0\mu)$ in the standard chain complex $\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}_w^*) \otimes V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}$ computing the Lie algebra cohomology $H^i(\mathfrak{n}_w, V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)})$ is one dimensional, spanned by the image of the vector (35). On the other hand, as $\dim(\mathfrak{n}_w) = i$ we know that $H^i(\mathfrak{n}_w, V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)})$ is isomorphic with the $\mathfrak{n}_w$-coinvariants of the one dimensional (trivial) $\mathfrak{n}_w$-representation $V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}$. Thus $L(w \cdot (w_0\mu)) \cong H^i(\mathfrak{n}_w, V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)})$ and we are done. □

For $\lambda \in X^*(\mathcal{T})$ let $V(\mu)_\lambda$ denote the corresponding weight space in $V(\mu)$ for the action of $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\Pi(\mu) = \{\lambda \in X^*(\mathcal{T}) \,|\, V(\mu)_\lambda \neq 0\}$.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mu \in X_1^*(\mathcal{T})$ be as in Theorem 7.2. For any $\lambda \in \Pi(\mu)$, any $\alpha \in \Delta$ and any $s \geq 0$ such that also $\lambda - s\alpha \in \Pi(\mu)$ we have $s < p$.*

PROOF: By the strong linkage principle [20], the condition $\langle \mu + \rho, \check{\beta} \rangle \leq p$ (all $\beta \in \Phi^+$) on the p-restricted weight μ implies that $\Pi(\mu)$ coincides with the corresponding set defined with respect to the irreducible rational $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$-module of highest weight μ. Therefore it follows from [4] chapter VIII, section 7.2, Proposition 3 that for any $\lambda \in \Pi(\mu)$ and any $s \geq 0$ such that also $\lambda - s\alpha \in \Pi(\mu)$ we have $s \leq \langle \lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle$. Thus we need to show $\langle \lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle < p$ for any $\lambda \in \Pi(\mu)$, any $\alpha \in \Delta$. Let

$$X^{\mathrm{dom}} = \{\lambda \in X^*(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \leq \langle \lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle \leq p \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta\}$$

denote the (closed) dominant cone. It contains ρ in its interior. Let

$$\overline{C} = \{\lambda \in X^{\mathrm{dom}} \mid \langle \lambda + \rho, \check{\alpha_0} \rangle \leq p\}$$

(recall that α_0 denote the highest short root), the closure in $X^*(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ of the bottom alcove in $X^*(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$\overline{C} = \{\lambda \in X^{\mathrm{dom}} \mid \langle \lambda + \rho, \check{\beta} \rangle \leq p \text{ for all } \beta \in \Phi^+\}$$

and this implies

$$\langle \lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle < p \quad \text{for any } \lambda \in \overline{C}, \text{ any } \alpha \in \Phi. \tag{36}$$

For any $\lambda \in \mu - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Phi^+$ we have $\langle \lambda + \rho, \check{\alpha_0} \rangle \leq \langle \mu + \rho, \check{\alpha_0} \rangle$. As $\Pi(\mu) \subset \mu - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Phi^+$ and $\mu \in \overline{C}$ it follows that $\Pi(\mu) \cap X^{\mathrm{dom}} \subset \overline{C}$. Now it is known that $\mathcal{W}(\Pi(\mu) \cap X^{\mathrm{dom}}) = \Pi(\mu)$. It therefore follows from formula (36) that $\langle \lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle < p$ for any $\lambda \in \Pi(\mu)$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$, as desired. □

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ denote the augmentation ideal in the group ring $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})]$, i.e. $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ is the kernel of the ring homomorphism $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})] \to \mathbb{F}_p$ sending $n \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})$ to $1 \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Then

$$B = \lim_{\leftarrow i} \mathbb{F}_p[\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})]/\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}^i$$

is a complete Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{a} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}B$ is a two sided ideal in B, and $B/\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{F}_p$. If we filter B by putting $F^iB = \mathfrak{a}^i$ for $i \geq 0$, then also the associated graded ring $\mathbf{gr}B$ is Noetherian.

We may regard $V(\mu)$ in a natural way as a B-module. If for $i \geq 0$ we filter $V(\mu)$ by putting $F^iV(\mu) = \mathfrak{a}^iV(\mu)$ then $V(\mu)$ becomes a filtered B-module, and its associated graded $\mathbf{gr}V(\mu)$ becomes a $\mathbf{gr}B$ module.

Let $U(\mathfrak{n})$ denote the (unrestricted) universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{n}$.

Recall that the prime number p is called excellent with respect to the root system Φ if p is at least the length of all root strings. Concretely, any $p > 3$ is excellent; if Φ contains no subroot system of type G_2 then any $p > 2$ is excellent; if Φ contains no subroot system of type G_2, B_n, C_n or F_4 (i.e. if Φ has only one root length) then any p is excellent.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mu \in X_1^*(\mathcal{T})$ be as in Theorem 7.2 and suppose that p is excellent with respect to Φ.*

(a) (Quillen, Lazard, Friedlander/Parshall) We have a natural isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras

$$U(\mathfrak{n}) \cong \mathbf{gr}B. \tag{37}$$

(b) We have a natural isomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-vector spaces

$$V(\mu) \cong \mathbf{gr}V(\mu). \tag{38}$$

The isomorphism (38) is compatible with the respective actions of $U(\mathfrak{n})$ and $\mathbf{gr}B$, if $U(\mathfrak{n})$ and $\mathbf{gr}B$ are identified via the isomorphism (37).

PROOF: (a) Consider the graded $\mathbb{Z}$-Lie algebra

$$\mathbf{gr}\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}) = \coprod_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})^n/\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})^{n+1}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})^0 = \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})^{n+1} = (\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})^n, \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}))$ and where the Lie bracket is induced by commutation in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $U(\mathbf{gr}\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}))$ denote its universal enveloping algebra. It follows from results of Quillen (cf. the proof of [13] Theorem 4.4; compare also with [22] Theorem 2.3) that there is a natural map $U(\mathbf{gr}\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbf{gr}B$ sending (the class of) $x \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})/(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}))$ to (the class of) $x - 1 \in \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2$ and inducing an isomorphism

$$U(\mathbf{gr}\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \cong \mathbf{gr}B.$$

Next, we have the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathbf{gr}\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p &\cong U(\mathbf{gr}\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p) \\ &\cong U(\mathfrak{n}) \end{aligned}$$

induced by the natural isomorphism $\mathbf{gr}\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \cong \mathfrak{n}$, cf. e.g. [13] Proposition 4.2 (it is here where we need the excellence of p). Together we obtain the isomorphism (37).

(b) (Compare also with [22] Proposition 2.4.) Let $\Delta \subset \Phi^+$ denote the set of simple roots. We extend the height function ht to the set $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Phi^+$ by putting

$$\mathrm{ht}(\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta} c_\alpha \alpha) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta} c_\alpha.$$

We first claim that for all $i \geq 0$ we have

$$F^i V(\mu) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Phi^+ \\ \mathrm{ht}(\lambda)\geq i}} V(\mu)_{\mu-\lambda}. \tag{39}$$

We argue by induction on i. For $i = 0$ this follows from $V(\mu) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Pi(\mu)} V(\mu)_\lambda$ and $\Pi(\mu) \subset \mu - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Phi^+$. For the induction step we need to introduce some more notations. For $\alpha \in \Delta$ let $x_{-\alpha} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathcal{N}$ denote the root subgroup of $-\alpha$, and let $e_{-\alpha}$ denote the corresponding basis vector in $\mathfrak{n}$. The action of $e_{-\alpha}$ on $V(\mu)$ satisfies $e_{-\alpha}(V(\mu)_\lambda) \subset V(\mu)_{\lambda-\alpha}$ for all $\lambda \in X^*(\mathcal{T})$. It is shown in [20] I, 7.8 and II, 1.12 that for any $s \geq 0$ there is an element $\frac{e_{-\alpha}^s}{s!}$ in the distribution

algebra of the root subgroup of $-\alpha$, defined over $\mathbb{Z}$, such that $s!\frac{e_{-\alpha}^s}{s!}$ acts as the s-fold iterate $e_{-\alpha}^s$ of $e_{-\alpha}$. By [20] II, 1.19(6), for $t \in \mathbb{F}_p$ the element $x_{-\alpha}(t)$ acts on $V(\mu)$ as

$$x_{-\alpha}(t) = 1 + te_{-\alpha} + \sum_{s>1} t^s \frac{e_{-\alpha}^s}{s!}. \tag{40}$$

Together with Lemma 7.3 it follows that the operator $\frac{e_{-\alpha}^s}{s!}$, which shifts weights by $-s\alpha$, acts trivially on $V(\mu)$ for each $s \geq p$. Therefore formula (40) now reads

$$x_{-\alpha}(t) = 1 + te_{-\alpha} + \sum_{s=2}^{p-1} t^s \frac{e_{-\alpha}^s}{s!}. \tag{41}$$

The ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in B is topologically generated by the set of all $x_{-\alpha}(t) - 1$ with $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $t \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

Now assume that we know formula (39) with $i-1$ instead of i. Formula (41) easily gives $F^i V(\mu) \subset \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Phi^+ \\ \mathrm{ht}(\lambda) \geq i}} V(\mu)_{\mu-\lambda}$. To see the reverse inclusion we begin by recalling that $V(\mu)$ is generated as an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)]$-module by its subspace $V(\mu)_\mu$, see [19] Proposition 2.15. Since the $x_{-\alpha}(t)$ generate $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ this means that $V(\mu)$ coincides with its minimal $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-sub vector space containing $V(\mu)_\mu$ and stable under the $x_{-\alpha}(t)$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. From formula (41) and more specifically from the fact that all $s!$ in that formula are invertible in $\mathbb{F}_p$ it then follows that $V(\mu)$ coincides with its minimal $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-sub vector space containing $V(\mu)_\mu$ and stable under the $e_{-\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. It follows that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Phi^+$ with $h = \mathrm{ht}(\lambda)$ we have

$$V(\mu)_{\mu-\lambda} = \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_h) \in \Delta^h \\ \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^h \alpha_j}} e_{-\alpha_1} \cdots e_{-\alpha_h} V(\mu)_\mu.$$

Pick such a sequence $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \Delta^h$ with $h \geq i$ and put

$$M_0 = e_{-\alpha_2} \cdots e_{-\alpha_h} V(\mu)_\mu,$$
$$M_- = \sum_{m \geq 0} e_{-\alpha_1}^m M_0.$$

By induction hypothesis we have $M_- \subset F^{i-1}V(\mu)$. Therefore is is enough to show that $e_{-\alpha_1} M_0 \subset (x_{-\alpha_1}(1) - 1)M'_-$. By descending induction on m we claim that, more generally, we have $e_{-\alpha_1}^m M_0 \subset (x_{-\alpha_1}(1) - 1)^m M_-$. Again the easy proof is based on formula (41) and more specifically on the fact that all $s!$ in that formula are invertible in $\mathbb{F}_p$. Thus we can begin the descending induction with $m = p-1$ so that $m!$ is invertible in $\mathbb{F}_p$ for all m under consideration. This concludes the proof of formula (39). Together with formula (41) we easily deduce all our claims in (b). □

Proof of Theorem 7.1: We first notice that if $p = 2$ it follows from (32) that either Φ is of type A_1 and $V(\mu)$ is one- or two dimensional, or Φ is of type A_2 and $V(\mu)$ is one dimensional.

If Φ is of type A_1 then Theorem 7.1 is a statement for the cohomology in degrees $i = 0$ and $i = 1$. For $i = 0$ it is the statement that the natural map

$$H^0(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)_1, V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(1)})$$

is an isomorphism, where $1 \in W$ is the trivial element. As $H^0(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p), .)$ is the functor of taking invariants under $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, and as $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(1)$ are the unipotent radicals of Borel subgroups opposite to each other, and as $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)_1$ is the trivial group, the statement is clear. For $i = 1$ the statement is that the natural map

$$H^1(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{w_0}, V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w_0)})$$

is an isomorphism, where $w_0 \in W$ is the nontrivial element. Since $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ we know that $H^1(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p), .)$ is isomorphic with the functor of taking coinvariants under $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. As $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w_0)$ the statement is clear also in this case. If Φ is of type A_2 and $V(\mu)$ is one dimensional, we may just as well assume that $V(\mu)$ is trivial, $V(\mu) = k$. In this case $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is isomorphic with the group of upper triangular unipotent 3×3 matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Therefore our claim follows from the explicit computation given in the appendix section 10.

If $p = 3$ then it follows from (32) that Φ is of not of type G_2. Therefore, we may now assume that p is excellent with respect to Φ.

We are going to identify the map (33), which by Theorem 7.2 is an isomorphism, with a graded version of the map (31) in question. For $\mu = 0$ this had been done in [13].

Let us first look at the respective targets. For $w \in \mathcal{W}$ with $\ell(w) = i$ the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-analytic group $\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is torsion free and of dimension i, hence $H^i(\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p), -)$ is isomorphic with the functor of taking coinvariants in a topological $\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-module. On the other hand, as the unipotent algebraic group $\mathcal{N}_{w,\mathbb{F}_p}$ has dimension i the functor $H^i(\mathfrak{n}_w, -)$ is isomorphic with that of taking coinvariants under $\mathfrak{n}_w$. The trivial one-dimensional $\mathcal{N}_w$-representation $V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}$ coincides with its coinvariants under $\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ as well as with its coinvariants under $\mathfrak{n}_w$. We thus obtain an isomorphism

$$\prod_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W} \\ \ell(w)=i}} H^i(\mathfrak{n}_w, V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}) \cong \prod_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W} \\ \ell(w)=i}} H^i(\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}). \tag{42}$$

It is shown in [14] Theorem 3.3' (ii) that the filtrations on B and $V(\mu)$ induce a natural filtration of $\mathrm{Tor}_*^B(\mathbb{F}_p, V(\mu))$ such that for the associated graded $\mathbf{gr}\mathrm{Tor}_*^B(\mathbb{F}_p, V(\mu))$ we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathbf{gr}B}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbf{gr}V(\mu)) \cong \mathbf{gr}\mathrm{Tor}_*^B(\mathbb{F}_p, V(\mu)).$$

Dually we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathbf{gr}B}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbf{gr}V(\mu)) \cong \mathbf{gr}\mathrm{Ext}^*_B(\mathbb{F}_p, V(\mu)). \tag{43}$$

To identify the right hand side of formula (43) observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}^*_B(\mathbb{F}_p, V(\mu)) &\cong H^*(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}), V(\mu)) \\ &\cong H^*(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used [26] chapter I, par. 2.6, Exercise 2) (d) for the second isomorphism: we are comparing the cohomology of a discrete group which is a succesive extensions of free finitely generated groups with the continuous cohomology of its p-adic completion.

[The argument can be carried out, for example, as follows. Consider a filtration of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z})$ with subquotients isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z}$, and its parallel filtration of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with subquotients isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Look at the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and use that on the modules in question we have: both $H^1(\mathbb{Z},.)$ and $H^1(\mathbb{Z}_p,.)$ are the functor of taking coinvariants (look e.g. at an explicit resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$), both $H^0(\mathbb{Z},.)$ and $H^0(\mathbb{Z}_p,.)$ are the functor of taking invariants, and both $H^t(\mathbb{Z},.)$ and $H^t(\mathbb{Z}_p,.)$ vanish for $t \neq 0, 1$.]

On the other hand, by Proposition 7.4 the left hand side of (43) can be identified as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathbf{gr}B}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbf{gr}V(\mu)) &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^*_{U(\mathfrak{n})}(\mathbb{F}_p, V(\mu)) \\ &\cong H^*(\mathfrak{n}, V(\mu)).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the isomorphim (43) now reads

$$H^*(\mathfrak{n}, V(\mu)) \cong \mathbf{gr}H^*(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)). \tag{44}$$

This is an isomorphism between the source of the map (33) and a graded version of the source of the map (31). It is clear from its construction that we may regard the right hand side of the isomorphism (42) as being the graded version of the target of the map (31), in such a way that the isomorphisms (44) and (42) are compatible. Our Theorem is proven. □

We now generalize Theorem 7.1 to the case where $\mathcal{G}$ is not necessarily simple but is a product $\mathcal{G} = \prod_{j\in J} \mathcal{G}^{(j)}$ with split simple factors $\mathcal{G}^{(j)}$. Accordingly we then have decompositions

$$\mathcal{T} = \prod_{j\in J} \mathcal{T}^{(j)}, \qquad \Phi = \coprod_{j\in J} \Phi^{(j)}, \qquad \Phi^+ = \coprod_{j\in J} \Phi^{+,(j)}, \qquad \mathcal{W} = \prod_{j\in j} \mathcal{W}^{(j)}.$$

Let $\rho^{(j)}$ denote the half sum of the positive roots in $\Phi^{(j)}$. Any $\mu \in X_1^*(\mathcal{T})$ is of the form $\mu = \prod \mu^{(j)}$ with $\mu^{(j)} \in X_1^*(\mathcal{T}^{(j)})$.

Theorem 7.5. *Suppose that $\mu = \prod_{j\in J} \mu^{(j)} \in X_1^*(\mathcal{T})$ satisfies $\langle \mu^{(j)} + \rho^{(j)}, \check{\beta}\rangle \leq p$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^{+,(j)}$. Then the natural map*

$$H^i(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)) \longrightarrow \prod_{\substack{w\in\mathcal{W} \\ \ell(w)=i}} H^i(\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}) \tag{45}$$

is bijective.

Proof: We may write $V(\mu) = \otimes_{j\in J} V(\mu^{(j)})$ as a rational $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_p} = \prod_{j\in J} \mathcal{G}^{(j)}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-representation. Consider the decomposition $\mathcal{N} = \prod_{j\in J} \mathcal{N}^{(j)}$ with $\mathcal{N}^{(j)} = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{G}^{(j)}$. More generally, for $w = \prod_j w^{(j)} \in \mathcal{W} = \prod_{j\in J} \mathcal{W}^{(j)}$ consider the decompositions

$$\mathcal{N}_w = \prod_{j\in J} \mathcal{N}_w \cap \mathcal{N}^{(j)}, \qquad \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w) \cong \prod_{j\in J} \mathcal{N}^{(j)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w^{(j)}).$$

Here $\mathcal{N}_w \cap \mathcal{N}^{(j)} = (\mathcal{N}^{(j)})_{w^{(j)}}$ if we define $(\mathcal{N}^{(j)})_{w^{(j)}}$ inside $\mathcal{N}^{(j)}$ by the same recipe as we defined $\mathcal{N}_w$ inside $\mathcal{N}$.

For $i^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\ell(w^{(j)}) = \dim((\mathcal{N}^{(j)})_{w^{(j)}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)) < i^{(j)}$ we have $H^{i^{(j)}}((\mathcal{N}^{(j)})_{w^{(j)}}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu^{(j)})) = 0$ because $(\mathcal{N}^{(j)})_{w^{(j)}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a torsion free $\mathbb{Q}_p$-analytic group. Thus, given $(i^{(j)})_{j\in J}$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ with $\ell(w) = \sum_j \ell(w^{(j)}) = \sum_j i^{(j)}$ we have

$$\bigotimes_{j\in J} H^{i^{(j)}}((\mathcal{N}^{(j)})_{w^{(j)}}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu^{(j)})) = 0 \qquad \text{unless } \ell(w^{(j)}) = i^{(j)} \text{ for all } j.$$

Therefore the Künneth formula gives a natural isomorphism

$$\prod_{\substack{w\in\mathcal{W}\\ \ell(w)=i}} H^i(\mathcal{N}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w)}) \cong \prod_{\substack{(i^{(j)})_{j\in J}\\ \sum_j i^{(j)}=i}} \prod_{\substack{w\in\mathcal{W}\\ \ell(w^{(j)})=i^{(j)}}} \bigotimes_{j\in J} H^{i^{(j)}}((\mathcal{N}^{(j)})_{w^{(j)}}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu^{(j)})_{\mathcal{N}^{(j)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w^{(j)})})$$

(in the second product symbol on the right hand side we require $\ell(w^{(j)}) = i^{(j)}$ for all j). On the other hand, the Künneth formula gives a natural isomorphism

$$H^i(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu)) \cong \prod_{\substack{(i^{(j)})_{j\in J}\\ \sum_j i^{(j)}=i}} \bigotimes_{j\in J} H^{i^{(j)}}(\mathcal{N}^{(j)}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu^{(j)})).$$

Together it follows that the map (45) is the direct sum, taken over all tuples $(i^{(j)})_{j\in J}$ with $\sum_j i^{(j)} = i$, of the maps

$$\begin{aligned}\bigotimes_{j\in J} H^{i^{(j)}}(\mathcal{N}^{(j)}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu^{(j)})) &\longrightarrow \prod_{\substack{w\in\mathcal{W}\\ \ell(w^{(j)})=i^{(j)}}} \bigotimes_{j\in J} H^{i^{(j)}}((\mathcal{N}^{(j)})_{w^{(j)}}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu^{(j)})_{\mathcal{N}^{(j)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w^{(j)})})\\ &\cong \bigotimes_{j\in J}\Big(\prod_{\substack{w\in\mathcal{W}\\ \ell(w^{(j)})=i^{(j)}}} H^{i^{(j)}}((\mathcal{N}^{(j)})_{w^{(j)}}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu^{(j)})_{\mathcal{N}^{(j)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w^{(j)})})\Big).\end{aligned}$$

It is enough to show that all the tensor factors of these maps are bijective, i.e. that the natural maps

$$H^{i^{(j)}}(\mathcal{N}^{(j)}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu^{(j)})) \longrightarrow \prod_{\substack{w\in\mathcal{W}\\ \ell(w^{(j)})=i^{(j)}}} H^{i^{(j)}}((\mathcal{N}^{(j)})_{w^{(j)}}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V(\mu^{(j)})_{\mathcal{N}^{(j)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(w^{(j)})})$$

are bijective. But as the $\mathcal{G}^{(j)}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ are simple this has been done in Theorem 7.1. □

Lemma 7.6. *Let $\mathfrak{N}_2 \subsetneq \mathfrak{N}_1$ be subgroups of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of the form $\mathfrak{N}_j = \prod_{\alpha\in\Psi} \mathfrak{N}_j \cap \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with free rank one $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodules $\mathfrak{N}_j \cap \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of $\mathcal{U}_\alpha(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, for a subset Ψ of Φ^-. Let $i = |\Psi|$ and let k be a field of characteristic p. Then the restriction map*

$$H^i(\mathfrak{N}_1, k) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{N}_2, k)$$

is the zero map.

PROOF: We find a filtration

$$\emptyset = \Psi_0 \subset \Psi_1 \subset \ldots \subset \Psi_{i-1} \subset \Psi_i = \Psi$$

with $|\Psi_{s+1} - \Psi_s| = 1$ and such that, if we put $\mathfrak{N}_{j,s} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Psi_s} \mathfrak{N}_j \cap \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then $\mathfrak{N}_{j,s}$ is a normal subgroup in $\mathfrak{N}_{j,s+1}$ for all $1 \leq s < i$. As the $\mathfrak{N}_j$ are torsion free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-analytic groups of dimension i we have $H^s(\mathfrak{N}_j, .) = 0$ for any $s > i$. Therefore the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences provide isomorphisms

$$H^i(\mathfrak{N}_j, k) \cong H^1(\mathfrak{N}_{j,i}/\mathfrak{N}_{j,i-1}, H^1(\mathfrak{N}_{j,i-1}/\mathfrak{N}_{j,i-2}, \ldots H^1(\mathfrak{N}_{j,1}, k)\ldots)).$$

This reduces our claim to the statement that for a proper inclusion $\mathfrak{M}_2 \subsetneq \mathfrak{M}_1$ of free rank one $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules the restriction map

$$H^1(\mathfrak{M}_1, k) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{M}_1, k) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{M}_2, k) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{M}_2, k)$$

is the zero map. □

8 $M_\chi(V)$ is free for $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and p-small weights

Lemma 8.1. *(i) For any split reductive group $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ there is a product $\mathcal{G} = \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{G}^{(j)}$ of split, simple and simply connected groups $\mathcal{G}^{(j)}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ together with a morphism of algebraic groups*

$$\gamma : \mathcal{G} = \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{G}^{(j)} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$$

(a) such that the pull back $\mathcal{T} = \gamma^{-1}\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ of a maximal split torus $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is a maximal split torus in $\mathcal{G}$,

(b) such that γ induces an isomorphism between the root systems of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ (with respect to $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$) and $\mathcal{G}$ (with respect to $\mathcal{T}$), and

(c) such that γ induces an isomorphism between the respective root subgroups, for all roots in Φ.

(ii) Let V be an irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{F}_p)]$-module. The restriction of V to $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ uniquely extends to an irreducible rational $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-representation with p-restricted highest weight.

PROOF: (i) Decompose the root system Φ of $(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}, \widehat{\mathcal{T}})$ into its irreducible components, $\Phi = \coprod_{j \in J} \Phi^{(j)}$. For $j \in J$ define $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)}$ as the subgroup of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ generated by the root subgroups corresponding to the roots in $\Phi^{(j)}$. This is a simple algebraic group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. If $\mathcal{G}^{(j)} \to \widehat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)}$ denotes its universal covering (an isogeny with simple simply connected $\mathcal{G}^{(j)}$) then the product $\gamma : \mathcal{G} = \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{G}^{(j)} \to \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ has the desired properties.

(ii) First we claim that V is irreducible as a $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)]$-module. Indeed, let $\mathcal{N}^-(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $\mathcal{N}^+(\mathbb{F}_p)$ denote the groups of $\mathbb{F}_p$-rational points of the unipotent radicals of two opposite Borel subgroups in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. For any irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)]$-module the subspace of $\mathcal{N}^+(\mathbb{F}_p)$-invariants (resp.

the quotient space of $\mathcal{N}^-(\mathbb{F}_p)$-coinvariants) is one dimensional. From this one easily deduces that a $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)]$-module W is irreducible if and only if the following two conditions hold true: $\dim(W^{\mathcal{N}^+(\mathbb{F}_p)}) = 1$ and the natural map $W^{\mathcal{N}^+(\mathbb{F}_p)} \to W_{\mathcal{N}^-(\mathbb{F}_p)}$ is bijective. The same is true for $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ instead of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. As we may read $\mathcal{N}^-(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $\mathcal{N}^+(\mathbb{F}_p)$ also as unipotent radicals of Borel subgroups in $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ our claim follows. But now, as $\mathcal{G}$ is semisimple and simply connected, it follows from a theorem of Steinberg that V uniquely extends to an irreducible rational $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-representation with p-restricted highest weight, cf. e.g. [16], Appendix, Proposition 1.3. $\square$

If the derived group $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathrm{der}}$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is simply connected then γ is an isogeny onto $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathrm{der}}$. For example, if $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} = \mathrm{GL}_n$ then $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{SL}_n$ and this is simple, $|J| = 1$.

We now return to our earlier setting and assume that $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ there. We apply Lemma 8.1 to $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$ to obtain a morphism $\gamma : \mathcal{G} = \prod_{j\in J} \mathcal{G}^{(j)} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$ as described in that Lemma, with maximal torus $\mathcal{T} = \gamma^{-1}\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ in $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\Phi^{(j)}$ for $j \in J$ denote the irreducible components of our root system Φ. Our fixed choice of positive roots in Φ determines choices of positive roots $\Phi^{(j),+}$ in all $\Phi^{(j)}$. Let $\rho^{(j)}$ denote the half sum of the elements of $\Phi^{(j),+}$. Let $h^{(j)}$ denote the Coxeter number of the root system $\Phi^{(j)}$. Any $\mu \in X_1^*(\mathcal{T})$ is of the form $\mu = \prod \mu^{(j)}$ with $\mu^{(j)} \in X_1^*(\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{G}^{(j)})$.

Theorem 8.2. *Assume that $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and that we are in (at least) one of the following cases (i), (ii) or (iii):*

(i) We are in case (I), R is a discrete valuation ring with residue field k of characteristic p, and we have $p \geq h^{(j)} - 1$ for all $j \in J$.

(ii) We are in case (II), and the unique $\mu \in X_1^*(\mathcal{T})$ with $V \cong V(\mu)$ (as rational $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-representations) satisfies $\langle \mu^{(j)} + \rho^{(j)}, \check{\beta}\rangle \leq p$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^{(j),+}$ for all $j \in J$.

(iii) We are in case (III), and the unique $\mu \in X_1^*(\mathcal{T})$ with $V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \cong V(\mu)$ (as rational $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-representations) satisfies $\langle \mu^{(j)} + \rho^{(j)}, \check{\beta}\rangle \leq p$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^{(j),+}$ for all $j \in J$.

Then the B-module $M_\chi(V)$ is free and the Koszul complex (26) is a $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant resolution of $M_\chi(V)$, for any R-algebra B and any R-algebra homomorphism $\chi : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to B$ such that $\chi|_{\mathfrak{H}(V)}$ factors through R.

Proof: By Proposition 6.4 it is enough to show that for any $0 \leq i < |\nabla|$ the k-vector space $H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V \otimes_R k)$ is generated by elements x with the following property: for any $\lambda \in \nabla$ we have $\rho_\lambda^i(x) = \theta_{\lambda,x}\iota_\lambda^i(x)$ for some $\theta_{\lambda,x} \in k$, and moreover $\theta_{\lambda,x} \neq 0$ for at least one λ (depending on x).

In case (i) the $k[\mathfrak{N}]$-module $V \otimes_R k$ is the trivial $k[\mathfrak{N}]$-module k (as $\mathfrak{N}$ is a pro-p-group). Moreover, the condition $p \geq h^{(j)} - 1$ is equivalent with the condition $\langle \rho^{(j)}, \check{\beta}\rangle \leq p$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^{(j),+}$, i.e. the condition on μ in case (ii) if $\mu = 0$. Thus case (i) is reduced to case (ii). Similarly, case (iii) is obviously reduced to case (ii).

Therefore, it is enough to concentrate on the case (ii) and to prove the above statement with $R = k$, $V \otimes_R k = V$.

Step 1: Consider the natural projection map

$$H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \prod_{\substack{w \in W \\ \ell(w)=i}} H^i(\mathfrak{N}_w, V_{\mathfrak{N}(w)}) \tag{46}$$

where $\mathfrak{N}_w$ and $\mathfrak{N}(w)$ are defined as in section 7. We may regard the subgroups $\mathfrak{N}$, $\mathfrak{N}(w)$ and $\mathfrak{N}_w$ of $\widetilde{K} = \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ also as subgroups of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Therefore Theorem 7.5 implies that φ is bijective. For $x \in H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V)$ write $\varphi(x) = \prod_w \varphi(x)_w$ with $\varphi(x)_w \in H^i(\mathfrak{N}_w, V_{\mathfrak{N}(w)})$ for $w \in W$ with $\ell(w) = i$. Consider elements $x \in H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V)$ for which there is some $w(x) \in W$, $\ell(w(x)) = i$, such that $\varphi(x)_w = 0$ for all $w \neq w(x)$ and such that $\varphi(x)_{w(x)} \neq 0$. To prove the above statement it is enough to prove that for any such x we have $\rho^i_\lambda(x) = \theta_{\lambda,x}\iota^i_\lambda(x)$ in $H^i(\mathfrak{N}[\lambda], V)$ for some $\theta_{\lambda,x} \in k$, and moreover $\theta_{\lambda,x} \neq 0$ for at least one λ (depending on x).

Step 2: Here we introduce some more notation. Recall (cf. the definition of ξ_λ) that for $\lambda \in \nabla$ we have the maximal parabolic subgroup $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{-\lambda}$ of $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$ with Levi factor $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{-\lambda}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{-\lambda}$. The Weyl group W^λ of $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{-\lambda}$ can be identified with a subgroup of W: there is a simple reflection s_λ in W such that W^λ is the subgroup of W generated by the simple reflections different from s_λ. We put

$$\mathfrak{N}^\lambda = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^- \cap W^\lambda \Phi^+} \widetilde{K} \cap U_\alpha. \tag{47}$$

This is the group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup in $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{-\lambda}$. Notice that $\mathfrak{N}^\lambda \subset \mathfrak{N}[\lambda]$. On the other hand we have

$$\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^- - (\Phi^- \cap W^\lambda \Phi^+)} \widetilde{K} \cap U_\alpha.$$

Thus $\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a normal subgroup in $\mathfrak{N} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} \widetilde{K} \cap U_\alpha$ with

$$\mathfrak{N}^\lambda \cong \mathfrak{N}/\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p). \tag{48}$$

For $w \in W$ we have the equivalences (for the second one observe Lemma 5.1)

$$\begin{aligned} w \in W^\lambda \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{N}_w \subset \mathfrak{N}^\lambda \\ &\Leftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{N}_w \subset \mathfrak{N}[\lambda]. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Step 3: Let $\lambda \in \nabla$. In view of formula (48) we may regard $\mathfrak{N}^\lambda$ as acting on $V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ and consider the natural map

$$H^i(\mathfrak{N}^\lambda, V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}) \longrightarrow \prod_{\substack{w \in W^\lambda \\ \ell(w)=i}} H^i(\mathfrak{N}_w, (V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)})_{\mathfrak{N}(w)}). \tag{50}$$

Take $\gamma : \mathcal{M}_{-\lambda} = \prod_{j \in J_{-\lambda}} \mathcal{M}^{(j)}_{-\lambda} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{-\lambda}$ as in Lemma 8.1 (applied to $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{-\lambda}$). By [17] Lemma 2.5 the $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{-\lambda,\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p)$-representation $V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ is irreducible. As an irreducible rational $\mathcal{M}_{-\lambda,\mathbb{F}_p}$-representation with p-restricted highest weight (Lemma 8.1), $V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ satisfies the conditions

of Theorem 7.5, as is easily deduced from our hypotheses on V. Therefore Theorem 7.5 implies the bijectivity of the map (50).

Step 4: Let $\lambda \in \nabla$, let

$$H^i(\mathfrak{N}/\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_p)}) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V) \tag{51}$$

denote the map induced by the natural projection $\nu_\lambda : \mathfrak{N} \to \mathfrak{N}/\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and the inclusion $\omega_\lambda : V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_p)} \to V$. Let

$$\widetilde{\rho}^i_\lambda, \widetilde{\iota}^i_\lambda : H^i(\mathfrak{N}/\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p), V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_p)}) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{N}[\lambda], V)$$

denote the maps obtained by composing $\rho^i_\lambda(x)$ resp. ι^i_λ with the map (51). We claim that $\widetilde{\rho}^i_\lambda = \widetilde{\iota}^i_\lambda$.

Indeed, $\widetilde{\iota}^i_\lambda$ is given by the pair $(\nu_\lambda \circ (\gamma_{[\lambda]}(.)\gamma^{-1}_{[\lambda]}), \omega_\lambda)$, while $\widetilde{\rho}^i_\lambda$ is given by the pair $(\nu_\lambda \circ \ell_\lambda, \xi_\lambda \circ \omega_\lambda)$ where $\ell_\lambda : \mathfrak{N}[\lambda] \to \mathfrak{N}$ is the inclusion.

We clearly have $\omega_\lambda = \xi_\lambda \circ \omega_\lambda$. But we also have $\nu_\lambda \circ (\gamma_{[\lambda]}(.)\gamma^{-1}_{[\lambda]}) = \nu_\lambda \circ \ell_\lambda$. To see this recall formula (48) and observe that the conjugation map $\gamma_{[\lambda]}(.)\gamma^{-1}_{[\lambda]}$ of formula (27) acts on $\mathfrak{N}^\lambda$ as the identity and that it induces an isomorphism $\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap \mathfrak{N}[\lambda] \cong \mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap \mathfrak{N}$.

Step 5: Let $w \in W$, let $\pi_w : V \to V_{\mathfrak{N}(w)}$ denote the natural projection. We claim that the composite

$$V \xrightarrow{\xi_\lambda} V \xrightarrow{\pi_w} V_{\mathfrak{N}(w)}$$

factors through π_w. More precisely, we claim that $\xi_\lambda(\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_w)) \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_w)$.

It follows from its definition that ξ_λ respects the action of $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_F) = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{-\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_F)$. In particular, ξ_λ respects the action of the maximal torus $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and hence it respects the weight spaces of V with respect to the action of $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. (In fact it acts on V even as a projector.) On the other hand, π_w may be viewed as the projection onto the space of lowest weight with respect to the Borel subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{N}(w)$, the kernel of π_w is the direct sum of the remaining weight spaces. Together this implies our claim.

Step 6: Take $x \in H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V)$ for which there is some $w(x) \in W$, $\ell(w(x)) = i$, with $\varphi(x)_w = 0$ for all $w \neq w(x)$ and with $\varphi(x)_{w(x)} \neq 0$.

We first claim that for those $\lambda \in \nabla$ with $w(x) \notin W^\lambda$ we have $\rho^i_\lambda(x) = 0$, or phrased differently, $\rho^i_\lambda(x) = \theta_{\lambda,x}\iota^i_\lambda(x)$ with $\theta_{\lambda,x} = 0 \in k$.

Let $\tau : \mathfrak{N} \to \mathfrak{N}$ denote the composite $\mathfrak{N} \cong \mathfrak{N}[\lambda] \subset \mathfrak{N}$, the (inverse of) the conjugation isomorphism of formula (27) followed by the inclusion of $\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]$ into $\mathfrak{N}$. The map $\rho^i_\lambda : H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V) \to H^i(\mathfrak{N}[\lambda], V)$ can then be identified with the map $H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V) \to H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V)$ induced by the pair (τ, ξ_λ). It follows from Step 5 (together with the obvious fact that $\tau(\mathfrak{N}_w) \subset \mathfrak{N}_w$ for all $w \in W$) that this map sits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{\substack{w \in W \\ \ell(w)=i}} H^i(\mathfrak{N}_w, V_{\mathfrak{N}(w)}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\substack{w \in W \\ \ell(w)=i}} H^i(\mathfrak{N}_w, V_{\mathfrak{N}(w)}) \end{array} \tag{52}$$

where the vertical arrows are the isomorphisms φ (Step 1), and the lower horizontal arrow is the product, over all $w \in W$ with $\ell(w) = i$, of maps

$$\beta_w^i : H^i(\mathfrak{N}_w, V_{\mathfrak{N}(w)}) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{N}_w, V_{\mathfrak{N}(w)}) \tag{53}$$

induced by the pair (τ, ξ_λ). Therefore, by our assumptions on x it is enough to show that $\varphi(x)_{w(x)}$ vanishes under the map $\beta_{w(x)}^i$. As $V_{\mathfrak{N}(w(x))}$ is the trivial representation of $\mathfrak{N}_{w(x)}$ this map can be identified with the map

$$H^i(\mathfrak{N}_{w(x)}, k) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{N}_{w(x)}, k) \tag{54}$$

induced by a k-endomorphism of k and the injective group homomorphism $\tau_w : \mathfrak{N}_{w(x)} \to \mathfrak{N}_{w(x)}$ induced by τ. Our assumption on λ and the equivalence (49) imply that $\mathfrak{N}_{w(x)}$ is not contained in $\mathfrak{N}[\lambda]$ and hence that τ_w is not an isomorphism. It therefore follows from Lemma 7.6 that the map (54) is the zero map. The claim is proven.

Next we claim that, on the other hand, for those $\lambda \in \nabla$ with $w(x) \in W^\lambda$ we have $\rho_\lambda^i(x) = \pm\iota_\lambda^i(x)$, or phrased differently, $\rho_\lambda^i(x) = \theta_{\lambda,x}\iota_\lambda^i(x)$ with $\theta_{\lambda,x} = \pm 1 \in k$. For this it is enough, by Step 4, to show that x lies in the image of the map (51). Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(\mathfrak{N}^\lambda, V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{\substack{w \in W^\lambda \\ \ell(w)=i}} H^i(\mathfrak{N}_w, V_{\mathfrak{N}(w)}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\substack{w \in W \\ \ell(w)=i}} H^i(\mathfrak{N}_w, V_{\mathfrak{N}(w)}) \end{array} .$$

Here the upper horizontal is the map

$$H^i(\mathfrak{N}^\lambda, V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}) \longrightarrow H^i(\frac{\mathfrak{N}}{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}, V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V) \tag{55}$$

where the first arrow is the isomorphism induced by (the inverse of) the natural isomorphism of groups (48). The lower horizontal arrow is the obvious inclusion. The vertical arrow on the left hand side is induced by the inclusions $\mathfrak{N}_w \to \mathfrak{N}^\lambda$ and the projections

$$V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \longrightarrow (V^{\mathbf{N}_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}_p)})_{\mathfrak{N}(w)} \cong (V_{\mathbf{N}_\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)})_{\mathfrak{N}(w)} \cong V_{\mathfrak{N}(w)};$$

here observe that $\mathbf{N}_\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset \mathfrak{N}(w)$. Thus, the vertical arrow on the left hand side can be identified with the isomorphism (50) (Step 3), while the vertical arrow on the right hand side is the isomorphism φ (Step 1). It follows that x lies in the image of the map (51), as desired.

Step 7: It remains to show that for $x \in H^i(\mathfrak{N}, V)$ as in Step 6 there is indeed some $\lambda \in \nabla$ with $w(x) \in W^\lambda$. But as we assume $\ell(w(x)) = i < |\nabla|$ this follows from the general fact that for any $w \in W$ with $\ell(w) < |\nabla|$ there is some $\lambda \in \nabla$ with $w \in W^\lambda$. □

Remark: Once more, the condition $\langle \mu^{(j)} + \rho^{(j)}, \check{\beta} \rangle \leq p$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^{(j),+}$ is equivalent with saying that $\mu^{(j)}$ lies 'in the (closed) bottom alcove' of $X_1^*(\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{G}^{(j)})$. From the strong linkage

principle of Andersen and Jantzen [20] it follows that this condition (for all j) implies that the irreducible rational $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_F$-module of highest weight μ admits a $\widetilde{K}$-stable $\mathcal{O}_F$-lattice such that its reduction is an irreducible rational $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{k_F}$-module (necessarily also of highest weight μ), as in case (III).

9 Integral structures in locally algebraic representations

Let $E/F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be finite field exensions; F will maintain its role it played so far. A locally algebraic representation of $\widetilde{G}$ over E is a tensor product $W = W^{\mathrm{alg}} \otimes_E W^{\mathrm{sm}}$ where W^{alg} is an algebraic (or rational) representation of $\widetilde{G}$ over E, and W^{sm} is a smooth representation of $\widetilde{G}$ over E. It is of great interest (cf. e.g. [25]) to decide when W admits a $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norm, or equivalently, a $\widetilde{G}$-stable $\mathcal{O}_E$-free submodule containing an E-basis of W.

Suppose that there is a finite dimensional $E[\widetilde{K}]$-module V_E and a character $\chi_E : \mathfrak{H}_{V_E}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to E$ such that

$$W \cong \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V_E \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}_{V_E}(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}),\chi_E} E \ (=: M_{\chi_E}(V_E)\ ).$$

Suppose that V is a $\widetilde{K}$-stable $\mathcal{O}_E$-lattice in V_E. In order to hope for a $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norm on W we should demand that the restriction of $\chi_E : \mathfrak{H}_{V_E}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to E$ to the $\mathcal{O}_E$-subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ takes values in $\mathcal{O}_E$, i.e. induces a character $\chi : \mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to \mathcal{O}_E$. For a geometric interpretation (in terms of the given data W^{alg} and W^{sm}) of the set of all χ_E satisfying this condition see [25]. For an equivalent characterization of such χ_E in terms of Jacquet functors see [12]. It seems to be conceivable that this condition is in fact also sufficient for the existence of a $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norm on W.

Given a χ as above, the existence of a $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norm on W immediately follows once we know that the $\mathcal{O}_E$-module

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} V \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}),\chi} \mathcal{O}_E \ (=: M_\chi(V)\ )$$

is free — because then $M_\chi(V)$ is a $\widetilde{G}$-stable $\mathcal{O}_E$-free submodule of $M_\chi(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} E \cong M_{\chi_E}(V_E) \cong W$. For this freeness we have given sufficient criteria, and verified them in important cases, in the present paper, e.g. Proposition 6.4, Corollary 6.1, Theorem 8.2.

Let us work this out in the case of an unramified smooth principal series representation $W = P_\rho = \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{T}N}^{\widetilde{G}} \rho$; for this discussion we faithfully follow [25]. Let $\rho : \widetilde{T} \to E^\times$ be a smooth character and consider the principal series representation

$$P_\rho = \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{T}N}^{\widetilde{G}} \rho = \{f : \widetilde{G} \to E \text{ locally constant } \mid f(gtn) = \rho^{-1}(t) f(g) \text{ for } g \in \widetilde{G}, t \in \widetilde{T}, n \in N\}$$

of $\widetilde{G}$ (which acts by left translations). If ρ in fact takes values in $\mathcal{O}_E^\times$ then the existence of a $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norm on P_ρ is obvious. However, this last condition is in general not a necessary one.

Assume that ρ is unramified, i.e. that ρ factors through $\widetilde{T} \to \widetilde{T}/\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K} \cong X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})$. Form $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} E$ with respect to the trivial $E[\widetilde{K}]$-module structure on E. Then the natural map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{E[\widetilde{G}]}(\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} E, P_\rho) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{E[\widetilde{K}]}(E, P_\rho) \cong P_\rho^{\widetilde{K}}$$

provides an action of $\mathfrak{H}_E(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) = \mathrm{End}_{\widetilde{G}}(\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} E)$ on the subspace $P_\rho^{\widetilde{K}}$ of $\widetilde{K}$-invariants in P_ρ. From the Iwasawa decomposition $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{K}\widetilde{T}N$ it follows that $P_\rho^{\widetilde{K}}$ is one-dimensional, hence $\mathfrak{H}_E(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ acts on $P_\rho^{\widetilde{K}}$ through a character $\omega_\rho : \mathfrak{H}_E(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to E$. By [21] Lemma 2.4(i) this is simply the character

$$\omega_\rho = \rho \circ \mathfrak{S} \tag{56}$$

where now ρ also denotes the character $E[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})] \to E$ induced by ρ and where $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{H}_E(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to E[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]$ is the Satake map from Proposition 2.2. Put

$$H_\rho = M_{\omega_\rho}(E) = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} E \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}_V(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}),\omega_\rho} E.$$

This is known to be an admissible smooth and finitely generated $\widetilde{G}$-representation [21] Theorem 2.7. We have the $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant map

$$H_\rho \longrightarrow P_\rho, \quad f \otimes 1 \mapsto \sum_{g \in \widetilde{G}/\widetilde{K}} f(g) g(\mathbf{1}) \tag{57}$$

where $\mathbf{1} \in P_\rho^{\widetilde{K}}$ denotes the unique $\widetilde{K}$-invariant function with $\mathbf{1}(1_{\widetilde{G}}) = 1_E$.

In [21] section 3 it is explained that the above map (57) is an isomorphism for sufficiently general ρ. (Note that, for example, if P_ρ is irreducible — if ρ is regular, i.e. if ρ is not fixed by any non-zero element in W, a necessary and sufficient criterion for the irreducibility of P_ρ is given in [8] Proposition 3.5 (b) — then it is enough to show that (57) is injective, since it is obviously non-zero. But to see this injectivity the results of [9] can be applied.)

If (57) is an isomorphism, finding a $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norm on P_ρ is equivalent with finding a $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norm on H_ρ. Thus, in view of the preceding discussion we ask whether the restriction of $\omega_\rho : \mathfrak{H}_E(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to E$ to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K})$ takes values in $\mathcal{O}_E$, hence induces a character $\omega_\rho^0 : \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}) \to \mathcal{O}_E$. By Proposition 2.2 and formula (56) this is equivalent with saying that the restriction of $\rho : E[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})] \to E$ to the subalgebra $\mathcal{O}_E[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})] \cap E[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]^W = \mathcal{O}_E[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]^W$ of $E[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]$ takes values in $\mathcal{O}_E$.

Given this, the question is whether the $\mathcal{O}_E$-module

$$M_{\omega_\rho^0}(\mathcal{O}_E) = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} \mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{K}),\omega_\rho^0} \mathcal{O}_E$$

is free. With Corollary 6.1 and Theorem 8.2 we obtain:

Theorem 9.1. *Suppose that we are in at least one of the following two cases (1) or (2):*

(1) $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and the Coxeter numbers of the irreducible components of the root system of $\widetilde{G}$ are at most $p + 1$.

(2) $|\nabla| \leq 2$.

If the map (57) is an isomorphism and if $\rho|_{\mathcal{O}_E[X_*(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}})]^W}$ *takes values in* $\mathcal{O}_E$ *then the principal series representation* P_ρ *admits a* $\widetilde{G}$*-invariant norm.*

The discussion of existence of a $\widetilde{G}$-invariant norm on H_ρ is of course the same for *any* ρ, i.e. regardless of whether (57) is an isomorphism. Then at least the following can be said on the relationship with P_ρ. (We literally reproduce this from [25].) H_ρ is generated by a single $\widetilde{K}$-invariant vector, hence the same is true for all its quotients. Like $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}} E$ also H_ρ has a one dimensional subspace of $\widetilde{K}$-invariants. Together we see that H_ρ admits a unique $\widetilde{G}$-quotient $\overline{H}_\rho$ with a non-zero (one dimensional) subspace of $\widetilde{K}$-invariants — the spherical representation for ρ. Via the map (57) it may be viewed as the unique irreducible constituent of P_ρ with a non-zero subspace of $\widetilde{K}$-invariants.

10 Appendix

Here we compute the continuous group cohomology with values in $\mathbb{F}_p$ (and hence also with values in any field of characteristic p) of the group $\mathfrak{N}$ of upper triangular unipotent matrices in $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. We give explicit formulae in terms of normalized cochains. For example, our conventions are such that

$$C_N^2(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p) = \{c : \mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \,|\, c((i,1)) = c((1,i)) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in \mathfrak{N}\}$$

is the group of normalized 2-cochains,

$$Z_N^2(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p) = \{c \in C_N^2(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p) \,|\, ic(j,k) - c(ij,k) + c(i,jk) - c(i,j) = 0\}$$

is its subgroup of normalized 2-cocycles, and a 2-cocyle $c \in Z_N^2(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ defines the zero class in $H^2(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ if and only if there is some $b : \mathfrak{N} \to \mathbb{F}_p$ with $b(1) = 0$ (i.e. a normalized 1-cocycle) satisfying

$$c(i,j) = ib(j) - b(ij) + b(i).$$

(Of course, as $\mathfrak{N}$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{F}_p$ we could have written $c(j,k)$ resp. $b(j)$ instead of $ic(j,k)$ resp. $ib(j)$.)

For an element $a \in \mathfrak{N}$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ we let $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ denote the (i,j)-entry of a. For example, for $a, b \in \mathfrak{N}$ one computes $(ba)_{12} = a_{12} + b_{12}$, $(ba)_{23} = a_{23} + b_{23}$ and $(ba)_{13} = a_{13} + b_{13} + b_{12}a_{23}$. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we denote by $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ also its residue class in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Define the normal subgroups

$$\mathfrak{N}^{(12)} = \{a \in \mathfrak{N} \,|\, a_{12} = 0\},$$
$$\mathfrak{N}^{(23)} = \{a \in \mathfrak{N} \,|\, a_{23} = 0\}$$

of $\mathfrak{N}$, and the non normal subgroups

$$\mathfrak{N}^{(12),(13)} = \{a \in \mathfrak{N} \,|\, a_{12} = a_{13} = 0\},$$
$$\mathfrak{N}^{(23),(13)} = \{a \in \mathfrak{N} \,|\, a_{23} = a_{13} = 0\}.$$

Lemma 10.1. *$H^1(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is generated by the classes of the 1-cocycles $\alpha_{12} = [a \mapsto a_{12}]$ and $\alpha_{23} = [a \mapsto a_{23}]$. The classes of the following 2-cocylces γ_1 and γ_2 form a basis of $H^2(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p)$:*

$$\gamma_1 = [(a,b) \mapsto a_{13}b_{23} + \frac{1}{2}a_{12}(b_{23}^2 - b_{23})],$$

$$\gamma_2 = [(a,b) \mapsto a_{12}b_{13} + \frac{1}{2}(a_{12}^2 - a_{12})b_{23}.]$$

[Note that these formulae make sense even if $p = 2$ since then $a_{12}^2 - a_{12}$ and $b_{23}^2 - b_{23}$ ly in $p\mathbb{Z}_p = 2\mathbb{Z}_2$. For $p \neq 2$ the summands $-\frac{1}{2}a_{12}b_{23}$ could be left out since they are coboundaries.] The cup product

$$\cup : H^1(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p) \times H^1(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p) \to H^2(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is the zero map. The natural maps

$$H^1(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{N}^{(12),(13)}, \mathbb{F}_p) \oplus H^1(\mathfrak{N}^{(23),(13)}, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

$$H^2(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{N}^{(12)}, \mathbb{F}_p) \oplus H^2(\mathfrak{N}^{(23)}, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

are isomorphisms. A 3-cocycle representing a non-zero element (and hence a basis) in $H^3(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is obtained as the cup product $\zeta = \gamma_1 \cup \alpha_{12}$, i.e. the 3-cocycle $\zeta : \mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N} \to \mathbb{F}_p$,

$$\zeta = [(a,b,c) \mapsto \gamma_1(a,b) \cdot \alpha_{12}(c) = a_{13}b_{23}c_{12} + \frac{1}{2}a_{12}c_{12}(b_{23}^2 - b_{23})].$$

PROOF: As $\mathfrak{N}$ is a 3-dimensional free $\mathbb{Q}_p$-analytic group we have $\dim H^0(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p) = 1 = \dim H^3(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $\dim H^1(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p) = \dim H^2(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, while all the other cohomology groups vanish. As $\mathfrak{N}$ is generated by the elements a and b with $a_{12} = 1$ and $a_{13} = a_{23} = 0$ and $b_{23} = 1$ and $b_{12} = b_{13} = 0$ our claims on $H^1(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ follow easily. The restriction of γ_1 to $\mathfrak{N}^{(12)}$ represents a nonzero class in $H^2(\mathfrak{N}^{(12)}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, while the restriction of γ_2 to $\mathfrak{N}^{(12)}$ represents the zero class in $H^2(\mathfrak{N}^{(12)}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, and dually: the restriction of γ_2 to $\mathfrak{N}^{(23)}$ represents a nonzero class in $H^2(\mathfrak{N}^{(23)}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, while the restriction of γ_1 to $\mathfrak{N}^{(23)}$ represents the zero class in $H^2(\mathfrak{N}^{(23)}, \mathbb{F}_p)$. This proves our claims on $H^1(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p)$. Finally our claim on $H^3(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p)$: Since the isomorphism $H^3(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong H^1(\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}^{(12)}, H^2(\mathfrak{N}^{(12)}, \mathbb{F}_p))$ arising from the Hochschild Serre spectral sequence sends the class of ζ to the class of γ_1 in

$$H^2(\mathfrak{N}^{(12)}, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong H^1(\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{N}^{(12)}, H^2(\mathfrak{N}^{(12)}, \mathbb{F}_p)),$$

it follows that ζ indeed represents a non-zero class in $H^3(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{F}_p)$. □

References

[1] *L. Barthel, R. Livné*, Irreducible modular representations of GL_2 of a local field, Duke Math. J. **75**, no. 2, 261–292 (1994)

[2] *J. Bellaïche, A. Otwinowska*, Platitude du module universel pour GL_3 en caractéristique non banale, Bull. Soc. Math. France **131**, no. 4, 507–525 (2003)

[3] *L. Berger, C. Breuil*, Sur quelques représentations potentiellement cristallines de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, Astérisque No. **330** (2010), 155211.

[4] *Bourbaki, N.* Groupes et algèbres de Lie, chap. 4 – 6, 7 – 9, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg (2008)

[5] *C. Breuil, P. Schneider*, First steps towards p-adic Langlands functoriality, J. reine angew. Math. **610**, 149 – 180 (2007)

[6] *K. S. Brown*, Buildings, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer (1989)

[7] *F. Bruhat, J. Tits*, Groupes réductives sur un corps local I, Publ. Math. IHES **41**, 5–251 (1972)

[8] *Casselman*, The unramified principal series of p-adic groups. I. The spherical function. Compositio Mathematica **40**, no 3, p. 387–406 (1980)

[9] *J. F. Dat*, Types et inductions pour les représentations modulaires des groupes p-adiques, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **32**, no.1, 1–38 (1999)

[10] *J. F. Dat*, Représentations lisses p-tempérées des groupes p-adiques, Amer. J. Math. **131**, 227–255 (2009)

[11] *W. G. Dwyer*, Homology of Integral Upper-Triangular Matrices, Proc. AMS **94**, no. 3, 523–528 (1985)

[12] *M. Emerton*, p-adic L-functions and unitary completions of representations of p-adic reductive groups, Duke Math. J. **130**, no. 2, 353–392 (2005)

[13] *E. M. Friedlander, B. J. Parshall*, Cohomology of Infinitesimal and Discrete Groups, Math. Ann. **273**, 353–374 (1986)

[14] *L. Grünenfelder*, On the homology of filtered and graded rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **14**, 21-37 (1979)

[15] *G. Henniart, M.F. Vignéras*, The Satake isomorphism modulo p with weight, preprint

[16] *F. Herzig*, The weight in a Serre-type conjecture for tame n-dimensional Galois representations, Duke Math. J. **149**, 37–116 (2009)

[17] *F. Herzig*, A Satake isomorphism in characteristic p, Compositio Math. **147**, no. 1, 263-283 (2011)

[18] *F. Herzig*, The classification of irreducible admissible mod p representations of a p-adic GL_n, Invent. Math. **186**, no. 2, 373-434 (2011)

[19] *J. E. Humphreys*, Modular representations of finite groups of Lie type, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **326**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006)

[20] *J. C. Jantzen*, Representation of Algebraic Groups, 2nd. edition, Mathematical surveys and Monographs, vol. **107**, American Mathematical society (2003)

[21] *S. Kato*, On eigenspaces of the Hecke algebra with respect to a good maximal compact subgroup of a p-adic reductive group, Math. Ann. **257**, no. 1, 1–7 (1981)

[22] *Z. Lin, D. K. Nakano*, Complexity for modules over finite Chevalley groups and classical Lie algebras, Invent. Math. **138**, 85–101 (1999)

[23] *P. Polo, J. Tilouine*, Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand complexes and cohomology of nilpotent groups over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for representations with p-small weights, Astérisque **280**, Cohomology of Siegel varieties, 97–135 (2002)

[24] *P. Schneider and U. Stuhler*, Representation theory and sheaves on the Bruhat-Tits building, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **85**, 97191 (1997)

[25] *P. Schneider, J. Teitelbaum*, Banach-Hecke algebras and p-adic Galois representations, Doc. Math., Extra Vol., 631–684 (2006)

[26] *J. P. Serre*, Galois Cohomology, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2002)

[27] *T. A. Springer*, Linear Algebraic Groups, Progress in Mathematics **9**, Birkhäuser, Boston Inc., Boston, MA, second edition (1998)

[28] *J. Tits*, Reductive groups over local fields, Proc. AMS Symp. Pure Math. Vol. XXXIII, Part 1, pp. 2969 (1979)

[29] *University of Georgia VIGRE Algebra Group*, On Kostant's Theorem for Lie Algebra Cohomology, Contemp. Math. **478**, p. 39–60 (2009)

[30] *M. F. Vignéras*, Cohomology of sheaves on the building and R-representations, Inventiones Math. **127**, 349–373 (1997)

[31] *M. F. Vignéras*, Algébres de Hecke affines génériques, Representation Theory **10**, 120 (2006)

[32] *M. F. Vignéras*, A criterion for integral structures and coefficient systems on the tree of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, F)$, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **4** (2008), no. 4, Special Issue: In honor of Jean-Pierre Serre. Part 1, 12911316.

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
Institut für Mathematik
Rudower Chaussee 25
12489 Berlin, Germany
E-mail address: gkloenne@math.hu-berlin.de